Abstract
The increasing incidence of type 1 diabetes (T1D) and autoimmune diseases in industrialized countries cannot be exclusively explained by genetic factors. Human epidemiological studies and animal experimental data provide accumulating evidence for the role of environmental factors, such as infections, in the regulation of allergy and autoimmune diseases. The hygiene hypothesis has formally provided a rationale for these observations, suggesting that our co-evolution with pathogens has contributed to the shaping of the present-day human immune system. Therefore, improved sanitation, together with infection control, has removed immunoregulatory mechanisms on which our immune system may depend. Helminths are multicellular organisms that have developed a wide range of strategies to manipulate the host immune system to survive and complete their reproductive cycles successfully. Immunity to helminths involves profound changes in both the innate and adaptive immune compartments, which can have a protective effect in inflammation and autoimmunity. Recently, helminth-derived antigens and molecules have been tested in vitro and in vivo to explore possible applications in the treatment of inflammatory and autoimmune diseases, including T1D. This exciting approach presents numerous challenges that will need to be addressed before it can reach safe clinical application. This review outlines basic insight into the ability of helminths to modulate the onset and progression of T1D, and frames some of the challenges that helminth-derived therapies may face in the context of clinical translation.
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1. Introduction
The hygiene hypothesis, initially postulated to explain the rise of allergic conditions [1], has been extended to also provide an explanation for the rise of autoimmune diseases in industrialized countries. Increasing evidence suggests that reduced exposure to infectious diseases, as a result of improved hygiene and medical practice, is an important contributing factor in the rising incidence of autoimmune diseases. In Europe, the incidence of T1D increased at a rate of 3.9% per annum between 1989 and 2003 [2]. Other regions show similar increases. Although genetic loci have been identified that predispose individuals to T1D [3], the growth in the incidence of T1D in northern Europe and North America exceeds levels that can be explained by changes in the gene pool of the population. Furthermore, as T1D incidence continues to rise, the disease is increasingly occurring in patients with lower risk genotypes [4], suggesting that environmental factors may be fostering disease penetration even in relatively genetically protected populations.

The inverse correlation between autoimmunity and infections can be observed when incidence and morbidity data are represented on global maps for infection and autoimmunity (Figure 1). These epidemiological data for the mirror image distribution of autoimmune versus infectious disease, together with studies in multiple animal models of autoimmune and inflammatory disease, lend support to the hygiene hypothesis. In truth, not all infections can prevent T1D; some have been associated with diabetes [5], including viral infections that have been suggested to be potential environmental trig-
ers for diabetes in both human studies and in animal models of T1D [6]. However, with regard to helminth infections, studies in animal models strongly demonstrate that the immunomodulation induced by worm infection has beneficial effects on diabetes onset and progression [5]. Furthermore, increased T1D incidence in transmigratory populations, moving from a region of relatively low incidence to a region with higher incidence, suggests that environmental factors may play a role in the growing incidence of this autoimmune disease in the industrialized world [7]. Rigorous epidemiological human studies, looking at the prevalence of T1D in countries endemic to infected with helminths, may contribute further confirmatory data.

One complication in charting and explaining the rise of T1D is that type 2 diabetes (T2D) and the metabolic syndrome are also increasing rapidly in developed and developing countries alike, constituting a much larger proportion of overall diabetes cases. While traditionally associated with T2D, the recognition of the role of insulin resistance in the onset and progression of T1D has blurred the borderlines between these two diseases, traditionally considered to be distinct [4, 8, 9]. Changes in lifestyle, including diet and physical activity, are clearly implicated in the global T2D epidemic, but it is unclear what role these factors play in the growing burden of T1D.

Among numerous other pathogens, helminths can establish themselves in a mammalian host on a chronic basis without succumbing to immune-mediated expulsion. They have developed strategies to instruct the host immune system to “tolerate” them. The initial host immune response to eradicate the parasite is gradually subverted, triggering the secretion of anti-inflammatory molecules and the development of regulatory cell populations. In some cases, the immune responses induced by helminths have been found to ameliorate or alleviate chronic inflammatory disorders. For example, a prospective study of multiple sclerosis (MS) patients harboring natural helminth infection (multiple species) demonstrated improved clinical outcomes in infected versus uninfected control patients [10].

Interest in the development of “drugs from bugs” for the treatment of inflammatory and autoimmune diseases is under active investigation at different levels, including the identification of pathogen-derived molecules that could prove useful as therapeutic agents [11]. Scientific panels and conferences devoted to research in this area have become increasingly common (for example, https://www.keystonesymposia.org/index.cfm?e=Web.Meeting.Program&Meetingid=1095).

In this review, we will discuss the implications of co-evolution between helminths and the human immune system in the context of increasing incidence of T1D and metabolic disease and how our immune equilibrium has been disrupted. We will also review the regulatory mechanisms induced by helminths that might have the potential to control T1D and inflammation more broadly.

2. Ancient “frenemies”

Infection with helminth parasites has been a persistent reality throughout our entire evolutionary history. We were exposed to these parasitic organisms long before we were human. Evidence of infections with mycobacteria and metazoan parasites extends deep into our prehistory, and analyses of prehistoric mummies and coprolites from diffuse geographies provide confirmation that hu-
Figure 1. Epidemiology of type 1 diabetes incidence and parasitic disease burden. (A) Incidence of type 1 diabetes is increasing rapidly in the developed world. Nations with top quartile diabetes incidence among children age 0-14 are colored red, and nations with bottom 75% incidence are colored grey. Data are from the International Diabetes Federation [12]. (B) Global disease burden from parasitic diseases, including schistosomiasis, lymphatic filariasis, onchocerciasis, leishmaniasis, ascariasis, trichuriasis, and hookworm, is clustered in the developing world. Nations in the top quartile of disability adjusted life year (DALY) burden from parasitic diseases are shown in red and nations in the bottom 75% of DALY burden are shown in grey. Data are from the World Health Organization [13].
mans have borne such infections extending back tens of thousands of years [14, 15]. We are not alone, as virtually without exception, all of our mammalian kinship is subject to similar parasitism by helminths, which exhibit extraordinary host specificity and restriction. Consistent with the age of this relationship, there is strong evidence that our parasitic passengers have evolved extensive adaptations to life inside the mammalian and, specifically, the human host, and that we, too, have evolved against the backdrop of exposure to helminths and other parasites.

One illustration of this intricate co-evolution can be found in the life cycle of the human blood fluke, *Schistosoma mansoni*, which is the focus of much of our own work directed at the investigation of the hygiene hypothesis in the context of T1D. *S. mansoni* is a trematode worm of the genus *Schistosoma* that pursues a complex life cycle, involving an intermediate snail host of the genus *Biomphalaria*, and infects humans as the definitive mammalian host. *S. mansoni* causes a tremendous disease burden globally, with an estimated 200 million people suffering from chronic infection, primarily in the developing world [16].

The life cycle of *S. mansoni* begins (see Figure 2) with the hatching of eggs upon exposure to fresh water, releasing miracidia, which then infect the intermediate molluscan host and undergo asexual reproduction to produce cercariae, the free swimming larval stage that infects man. Upon contact with human skin, cercariae adhere and invade the host, ultimately migrating to the portal vasculature, where mature worms form monogamously mated pairs and begin to lay ~300 eggs per day. Many of these eggs transit through the blood vessel and intestinal walls to reach the lumen of the intestine, from where they pass to the exterior and hatch into a new generation of miracidia. A proportion of eggs, however, are swept with the blood stream to the liver, where they cause granulomatous inflammation that, in the chronic setting, leads to the fibrosis and portal hypertension that underlie the pathological features of schistosomiasis.

Driven by the selective pressure to successfully survive and reproduce within the blood vessels of its definitive host, *S. mansoni* has evolved into a master manipulator of the human immune system, and can survive in the vasculature without immune-mediated expulsion for up to 40 years [16-20]. This adaptation to the mammalian immune environment is so complete that *S. mansoni* actually depends upon components of the host immune system to complete its life cycle. Whereas, *S. mansoni* can form mated pairs and lay large numbers of eggs that successfully transit the intestine and reach the exterior in immunocompetent mice, maturation, egg laying, extravasation, and hepatic granuloma formation all were markedly impaired in the absence of functional B and T cells [21, 22].

The ability of schistosome worms to mature and reproduce in immunodeficient mice was restored by administration of exogenous TNF-α or reconstitution with CD4+ T cells, providing evidence that *S. mansoni* senses and depends upon host-derived immune factors for its maturation and reproduction. These findings are mirrored in the human clinical setting, where studies of HIV-positive patients in regions endemic for *S. mansoni* revealed that fecal egg counts, but not infection intensity, were negatively correlated with CD4+ T cell counts [23]. Further supporting the co-evolution of *S. mansoni* with the mammalian immune system, evidence exists that adult worms can sense the human regulatory cytokine, transforming growth factor beta (TGF-β), and that stimulation with TGF-β induces expression of genes linked to sexual maturation and male-female interaction and may modulate embryonic development [24-27]. *S. mansoni* has thus evolved not only to detect mediators of host immunity, but also to use these signals in its own program of maturation and reproduction.

These adaptations of *S. mansoni* are by no means an isolated occurrence in the world of helminths. Evidence supports similar adaptations and immunomodulatory capabilities across many other parasitic species, and certain features of helminth immunomodulation, especially the induction of a T helper 2 (Th2) and regulatory immune response (discussed in detail below), are largely conserved [19, 20].

Similarly to schistosomes, filarial nematodes establish chronic helminth infections that can persist for many years. They have developed a variety of strategies to evade and modulate the host’s immune system [28]. Parasite transmission is mediated by blood-feeding arthropods. The life cycle is mainly completed in the mammalian host, where larvae and adult worms circulate, feed, and reproduce, exploiting both the lymphatic and the hematic host systems. Like schistosomes, these infections induce profound changes of the host immune system, including a tolerogenic signature in innate immune cells and the expansion of regulatory cell populations which are able to suppress Th1 and control Th2 immune responses [29, 30]. Filariasis can be studied and modeled in laboratory animals, providing useful examples/evidence of co-evolution.
between helminth and mammalian host. Interesting observations on the significance of T regulatory (Treg) cells in helminth infections have been highlighted using a murine model of filariasis, *Litomosoides sigmodontis* [31]. In this model, Tregs are demonstrated to play a role in suppressing host immunity and in regulating fecundity of female parasites [32, 33].

Further well-studied examples of co-evolution between parasite and mammalian host immune populations, contributing to regulation and containment of host tissue inflammation and fostering tissue repair [34, 38].

*Heligmosomoides polygyrus* is another helminth that, like *S. mansoni* and *T. spiralis*, has been investigated in the context of T1D. *H. polygyrus* is an intestinal nematode that naturally infects rodents as its definitive host and, as a result of its extensive adaptation to muroid immune systems, is among the best studied models of system can be found in nematodes of the genus *Trichinella*, which have also been studied in models of T1D. *Trichinella spiralis*, which is among the best-studied parasites, invades the striated muscles of the host where it induces the formation of a collagen capsule (cyst). In contrast to *S. mansoni*, *T. spiralis* completes its life cycle in the host. Infection occurs by ingestion of raw meat containing encysted (live) *T. spiralis* larvae. The larvae are liberated from the cyst during digestion, and develop into adult worms in the small intestine where they mate. Eggs hatch inside the female uterus and new larvae migrate through blood vessels to the striated muscles, where new cysts are formed. During this process, products excreted/secreted (ES) by *T. spiralis* induce profound effects on the host immune system, deviating Th1 responses to Th2 by inducing dendritic cells (DCs) and macrophages to acquire an immature, tolerogenic phenotype [34-37]. In addition to Th2 biasing, *T. spiralis* induces secretion of IL-10 and TGF-β by both innate and adaptive immune populations.
Helminth infection in laboratory animals (both mice and rats). *H. polygyrus* larvae enter the duodenum from the oral route, penetrate the duodenal mucosa, and develop into adult worms that live, feed, mate, and lay eggs in the intestinal lumen. The eggs can either form granulomas in the intestinal mucosa or exit the intestine through the feces. Larvae, worms, and eggs all induce powerful responses in the gut mucosa, expanding, activating, and recruiting immune cells, in particular DCs and macrophages [39, 40]. Despite the fact that the *H. polygyrus* life cycle takes place in the intestine (without entering the circulation), the parasite potently modulates systemic immune responses. It has been investigated in many animal models of inflammatory disease, including T1D, colitis, allergy, asthma, and gastric atrophy [39, 41-48]. Type 2 immunity to *H. polygyrus* includes the expansion of Th2 and Treg populations. Studies have shown that *H. polygyrus* actively secretes proteins that induce expression of the transcription factor Foxp3 and a regulatory phenotype among CD4+ T cells via ligation of the host TGF-β receptor [49].

Consistent with these roles played by ES products in affecting the regulated Th2 immunity associated with *T. spiralis, H. polygyrus*, and *S. mansoni*, many other helminths have also been shown to selectively secrete products that modulate host immunity [50]. For example, ES-62, a leucine aminopeptidase secreted by another nematode parasite of rodents, *Acanthocheilonema vitae*, also has potent immunomodulatory properties, and has been reported to inhibit pro-inflammatory responses at least in part through interactions with TLR4 [11]. Among human pathogens, onchocystatin, a cysteine protease inhibitor secreted by the filarial nematode, *Onchocerca volvulus*, has been shown to induce a regulatory shift and suppress proliferation of human T cells [51, 52].

Despite longer generational times, there is little reason to believe that the mammalian and, specifically, the human immune system have remained static in this evolutionary arms race. It is more plausible that we have co-evolved with these old adversaries, driven by our opposing desire to expel or control them, and by the shared interest of parasite and host to limit tissue damage and pathology.

3. Prevention experiments in the non-obese diabetic mouse model of T1D

The non-obese diabetic (NOD) mouse [53, 54] and the BioBreeding diabetes-prone (BB-DP) rat [55] constitute the most widely studied animal models of T1D. They have contributed significantly to our understanding of T1D as a clinical disease. In particular, the NOD mouse has been used extensively to investigate the interplay between infections and autoimmunity, and has generated significant data in support of the hygiene hypothesis in T1D (see Table 1 for a summary of selected studies).

The progression and pathology of autoimmune diabetes in the NOD mouse mirrors to a significant degree those of clinical T1D. Immune infiltration of the pancreas begins at approximately 5 weeks of age. The destruction of the pancreatic β-cells in NOD mice is caused by dysregulated mononuclear cells, and is generally described as Th1-mediated disease [56]. Antigen-presenting cells, including B cells, DCs, and macrophages, have been identified as key players in self-antigen presentation. Together with NK cells, these cells secrete inflammatory mediators that contribute to the recruitment of T lymphocytes (both CD4+ and CD8+ T cells) that ultimately kill β-cells by apoptosis and necrosis.

The incidence of diabetes in NOD mice varies significantly between colonies. These differences seem to be linked to the conditions in which the animals are maintained, with degree of pathogen exposure inversely proportional to observed diabetes incidence [57]. For example, autoimmune susceptible animals kept under germ-free (GF) conditions develop diabetes at high incidence. Conversely, animals housed in poor hygiene have a low incidence of diabetes, suggesting that infections (and also commensal bacteria) play crucial roles in the modulation of diabetes. These observations helped to kindle an interest among researchers to formally study the effect of infections on diabetes using the NOD mouse as a model for T1D (Table 1). Paradoxically, despite the Th1 character of the diabetogenic immune response in the NOD mouse, some Th1 infections and Th1-inducing microbial agents were found to prevent or delay diabetes in NOD mice. These include live infection with intracellular bacterial infections, such as *Salmonella typhimurium* [58], which prevented diabetes in the NOD mouse when administered from 8 weeks of age, and exposure to several viral pathogens (see Table 1).

Perhaps less surprising was the finding that Th2-skewing parasitic infections could ameliorate a Th1-mediated autoimmune disease like T1D. The first study to demonstrate the protective effect of helminth infections on diabetes was performed using *S. mansoni* infection in NOD mice, begin-
Table 1. Selected pathogens and pathogen-derived products shown to modulate autoimmune diabetes in the NOD mouse

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Effect on diabetes in the NOD mice</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Helminth infections</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schistosoma mansoni</td>
<td>Accelerate</td>
<td>[59]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trichinella spiralis</td>
<td></td>
<td>[46]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heligmosomoides polygyrus</td>
<td>Delay</td>
<td>[45, 46, 67]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Litomosoides sigmodontis</td>
<td>Prevent</td>
<td>[60, 68]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Helminth antigens &amp; products</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. sigmodontis antigen</td>
<td>No effect</td>
<td>[60]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dirofilaria immitis IgE-inducing antigen</td>
<td></td>
<td>[69]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. mansoni soluble worm antigen</td>
<td></td>
<td>[62]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. mansoni soluble egg antigen</td>
<td></td>
<td>[62]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. mansoni soluble cercarial antigen</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. mansoni LNFPIII</td>
<td></td>
<td>Hall et al., in preparation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Microbial infections</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>[63-65]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monkey rotavirus RRV (at birth)</td>
<td></td>
<td>[70, 71]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monkey rotavirus RRV (12 weeks)</td>
<td></td>
<td>[72]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus</td>
<td></td>
<td>[73, 74]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactate dehydrogenase virus</td>
<td></td>
<td>[75]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse hepatitis virus</td>
<td></td>
<td>[76]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Murine gammaherpes virus-68</td>
<td></td>
<td>[77]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coxsackie B3 &amp; B4 viruses</td>
<td></td>
<td>[73, 78, 79]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coxsackie B4 virus (at 8 weeks)</td>
<td></td>
<td>[80]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salmonella typhimurium</td>
<td></td>
<td>[58]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mycobacterium avium</td>
<td></td>
<td>[81]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Microbial antigens &amp; products</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. bovis BCG</td>
<td></td>
<td>[82, 83]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete Freund's adjuvant</td>
<td></td>
<td>[84]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streptococcal OK-432</td>
<td></td>
<td>[85]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klebsiella pneumonia glycoprotein</td>
<td></td>
<td>[86]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Escherichia coli LPS</td>
<td></td>
<td>[86]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zymosan</td>
<td></td>
<td>[87]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zymosan</td>
<td></td>
<td>[88]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CpG DNA</td>
<td></td>
<td>[89]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CpG DNA</td>
<td></td>
<td>[90]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polynosinic:polycytidylic acid</td>
<td></td>
<td>[80]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OM-85 (multi-species extract)</td>
<td></td>
<td>[91]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudomonas aeruginosa OdDHL</td>
<td></td>
<td>[92]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ning at 5-6 weeks of age, which precedes intra-islet immune infiltration [59]. Infected mice exhibited reduced diabetes incidence and a marked Th2 immune response, manifesting features typical of murine models of schistosomiasis, including systemic eosinophilia. Subsequently, the influence of other live helminth infections were investigated in the NOD mouse (including T. spiralis, H. polygyrus, and L. sigmodontis; see Table 1), and all were found to prevent diabetes, strongly supporting the hypothesis that the immunomodulation induced by helminths has a broad capacity to suppress the onset and progression of T1D [45, 46, 60].

These encouraging findings in the context of live helminth infections led researchers to explore whether soluble antigens from multiple helminth species, in the absence of live infection, also were capable of modulating the initiation and course of autoimmune diabetes in the NOD mouse. In the case of S. mansoni, soluble antigen from both adult worm (SWA) and eggs (SEA) were shown to confer robust diabetes protection in the NOD mouse when administered from ~4-5 weeks of age. Evidence from adoptive transfer experiments suggest that the two antigens exert their effects via distinct mechanisms [61, 62].

Given that antigen preparations from helminths are able to recapitulate aspects of diabetes protection observed with live infections, the identification of specific helminth-derived products capable of immunomodulation and prevention of disease has become a major focus of research. In the case of S. mansoni, the glycoprotein omega-1 has been identified as a principal Th2-inducing factor in SEA, expanding Treg both in vitro and in vivo [63-65]. The S. mansoni-derived glycan, lacto-N-fucopentaose III (LNFPIII), has been reported to prevent diabetes in the NOD mouse [66], and numerous specific products from other helminths are under active investigation, for example ES-62 from A. viteae [11].

Together, animal studies of helminth infection and products in the NOD mouse provide strong evidence that we (mammals) might actually require the immunomodulatory effects of helminth antigens to maintain a balance in our immune system. In particular, these effects of helminth exposures may aid in the expansion of crucial regulatory cell populations (and the secretion of anti-inflammatory molecules) that are understimulated in the absence of our “old friends”. Dissecting the immune response induced by helminths and their products may help to direct future therapeutic strategies.

4. Immunity to helminthes

As previously discussed, the co-evolution of mammals with a broad range of infectious agents has helped to shape the mammalian immune system, adapting cell functions and mechanisms of defence for each specific type of infection. Because of the size of helminth parasites, the host immune system must employ multiple players and develop different mechanisms to eradicate the uninvited guest.

Although the induced immune response varies between different helminth parasites, and is tailored to the site of “residence” within the host, immunity to helminths is referred to as Th2 or, more broadly, as type 2 immunity [93]. Th2 responses are fundamental to host survival in the face of helminth infection, and many different cell types play crucial roles in the secretion of cytokines able to amplify Th2-immunity. Besides the canonical Th2 cytokine, IL-4, the most studied cytokines involved in the immune response to helminths include IL-3, IL-5, IL-9, IL-10, IL-13, IL-25, and IL-33. These cytokines can be secreted during helminth infections not only by Th2-polarized CD4+ T cells, but also by antigen-presenting cells (B cells, DCs, and macrophages), granulocytes (eosinophils, mast cells, and basophils), or type 2 innate lymphoid cells (ILC2). In addition to innate immune cells, epithelial cells also respond to the presence of helminths, and are an important source of type 2 cytokines (e.g. IL-25 and IL-33), often contributing to the activation and amplification of type 2 protective mechanisms early during the course of infection. Type 2 cytokines are fundamental in sustaining protective mechanisms against the parasite, including antibody production (IgG1, IgE, and IgG4 in humans) by B cells, smooth muscle contraction, mucus secretion by epithelial cells, and direct attack of the parasite with anti-helminth molecules secreted by granulocytes and epithelial cells [94].

Regulatory cells are also an important feature of immunity during helminth infections; these include both Foxp3-expressing CD4+ Treg cells and IL-10-secreting Tr1 cells [95]. Together with Th2 responses, both cell types are involved in the downmodulation of initial inflammatory and Th1 responses to the parasite. However, they can also regulate Th2 responses to maintain immune balance. In the absence of appropriate regulation, Th2 responses can cause destruction not only to the parasite, but also to host tissue, and cause pathology. The mechanisms by which Tregs restrain the activation and proliferation of other cells are me-
mediated both by cell-cell interactions and by soluble factors [96]. Helminths induce the generation and expansion of Tregs, and enhance Treg function by inducing the expression of negative costimulatory molecules such as CTLA-4 and anti-inflammatory cytokines, including IL-10 and TGF-β.

After millions of years of co-evolution, it is often difficult to disentangle which aspects of the immune response induced by helminths favor the host and which favor the parasite. The resultant balance in Th2 and regulatory immune response, exhibited during chronic helminth infection, is possibly the best compromise between the two.

5. Mechanisms of helminth-mediated diabetes protection

The potent induction of Th2 and Treg responses associated with immunity to helminths provided the initial rationale for investigating the exposure to helminth infections or products to modulate the course of disease in animal models of T1D. As a Th1-mediated disease, it was hypothesized that skewing of the immune response along opposing Th2 and regulatory axes may act to regulate and suppress the diabetogenic Th1 response.

Most of the early papers, showing that helminth infection could prevent diabetes in NOD mice, focused on immune switch from Th1 to Th2 response, and suggested this as the primary mechanism of protection (see Table 1). Subsequent studies in NOD mice, utilizing live infections and helminth-derived products, began to focus attention on cells of the innate immune system, in particular on how helminth parasites and their antigens could trigger important phenotypic and functional changes in DC and macrophage populations [62, 97, 98]. This “anti-inflammatory” signature among cells of the innate immune system was shown to be responsible for induction of Th2 and Treg population expansion.

The interaction between pathogen recognition receptors (PRR) and helminth antigens has now been shown to be crucial for the induction of a tolerogenic phenotype among DC and alternative activation in macrophages. The study of how the complex mix of glycosylated proteins and lipids contained in crude helminth extracts interact at a molecular level with the different toll-like receptors (TLRs) and C-type lectin receptors (CLRs) has been recognized as a key to understanding the mechanisms of immunomodulation by these products. This knowledge is essential for the design of immunomodulatory molecules and therapeutic protocols capable of inducing tolerance [99].

The presence of type 2 immune cells and regulatory cell types in the pancreas of NOD mice has been associated with the prevention of diabetes progression [61, 62, 100, 101]. Most studies demonstrated that the exposure to helminth infection and/or immunization has to take place before the bulk of the β-cell mass is compromised by autoimmune attack. Although interesting and encouraging, these findings suggest that helminth-derived therapies may be best suited to applications either in the prophylaxis of prediabetic patients or as tolerance-inducing co-therapies in the context of β-cell replacement approaches. More recently, work in the laboratory of Anne Cooke has demonstrated that larval antigens from S. mansoni cercariae (SCA), the infective life cycle stage that first contacts the mammalian host, can prevent diabetes in NOD mice (Table 1), not only from an early time point (4-5 weeks of age), but also much later in the progression of disease (10 weeks of age). SCA share some immunomodulatory effects with the well-studied S. mansoni extracts SEA and SWA, but appear to act via partially overlapping mechanisms. This provides evidence for novel roles of innate immune populations, both in the pancreas and systemically (Hall SW, et al., manuscript in preparation).

The mechanisms of diabetes protection induced by helminth infection are complex. They require multiple interactions between innate and adaptive immune cells. This complexity was demonstrated in studies using IL-4-deficient NOD mice that failed to develop a Th2 shift in response to H. polygyrus or L. sigmodontis, but still were protected from diabetes by the infection [67, 68]. On the other hand, the expansion of regulatory cell populations (Foxp3-expressing and/or IL-10-secreting T cells) induced by helminth infections was shown to be dispensable for diabetes prevention in IL-4-competent NOD mice infected with H. polygyrus [45].

The initial model of Th2- and Treg-mediated control of pathogenic Th1 responses in the pancreas has evolved to reflect greater appreciation for the tolerogenic role of helminth infections. Exposure to helminth can induce tolerogenic and type 2 innate immune populations and thereby avert and suppress β-cell destruction. In the future, this cast of characters may expand to include not only immune populations local to the pancreas and pancreatic lymph nodes, but also other populations, including those in epithelial and adipose tissue, with the potential to modulate islet inflammation and stress.
6. Extending the hygiene hypothesis

Although the preponderance of work regarding the relevance of helminth infection and products to diabetes has focused on suppression or regulation of the autoimmune processes underlying T1D, emerging evidence suggests that the ancient relationship between mammals and helminth parasites may also have shaped aspects of metabolism more broadly.

Recent studies in the context of animal models of obesity, metabolic syndrome, and type 2 diabetes have highlighted the contributions of adipose-resident immune populations to the modulation of insulin responsiveness and glucose homeostasis. In particular, studies in mice fed a high fat diet have demonstrated that obesity is associated with a switch in the activation of adipose-resident macrophages towards an inflammatory, classically activated phenotype and away from the alternatively activated phenotype associated with lean, healthy adipose tissue [102]. These observations have been extended with the finding that the Th2-linked IFN-γ and IL-12, 

modulate the course of T1D, clinical translation of these approaches has been slow and obstacles remain. In contrast to the defined timing parameters associated with autoimmune diabetes onset in the NOD mouse, human T1D patients present clinically with heterogeneous levels of residual β-cell function and disease progression. Despite this heterogeneity, by the time glycemic dysregulation is apparent, patients have generally lost an estimated ~70% of islet mass. These clinical realities have important implications for the translation of many of the helminth-derived interventions that have shown efficacy in the NOD mouse, as many of these studies have demonstrated the ability to prevent autoimmune diabetes before the establishment of insulitis, but not to halt or reverse disease at a later stage.

In the context of translational studies, the distinction between prophylaxis and therapy is paramount. Although animal data generated in the NOD mouse strongly support the potential of helminth infection or products to prevent disease, appropriate biomarkers do not currently exist to enable the identification and treatment of patients at sufficient risk of developing T1D early enough in disease progression. Without a means of targeting very high risk patients, prophylactic investigation of helminth-derived treatments would require very large studies of long duration, with extremely high development costs. Ethical and regulatory concerns are another barrier to prophylactic approaches, as any treatment used in this setting would need an extremely well-established safety profile to support a favorable risk-benefit assessment for administration to susceptible, but currently healthy, patients who may never develop T1D.

To date, clinical translation of helminth-derived therapies for the treatment of other autoimmune diseases, manifesting a relapsing-remitting profile, has proven more tractable and underscores the therapeutic potential of these approaches.

A prospective study of MS patients in Argentina, naturally infected with multiple species of helminth, revealed that these patients exhibited fewer exacerbations of disease, less variation in disability scores, and reduced radiological manifestations of MS than uninfected control patients during a 4.6 year study period [10]. Treatment of such naturally infected patients with anti-helminthic drugs induced a worsening of clinical measures of MS and an expansion of myelin-basic protein-specific peripheral blood mononuclear cells secreting the inflammatory cytokines IFNγ and IL-12,

7. Towards translation

Despite compelling data from animal models supporting the potential of helminth infection, or of therapies derived from helminth products, to
but a reduction in those cells secreting anti-inflammatory TGF-β and IL-10 [106]. These observations from naturally infected patients supported the investigation of therapeutic helminth infection of relapsing-remitting MS patients in a recently completed clinical study. Biweekly oral administration of 2,500 ova of the porcine whipworm, *Trichuris suis*, to a small cohort of MS patients over a 3-month treatment period reduced new gadolinium-enhancing lesions detected by magnetic resonance imaging relative to baseline, and induced an increase in regulatory IL-10 detected in serum; these effects returned to baseline within 2 months of cessation of *T. suis* ova therapy [107]. Although these data are from small, exploratory clinical studies, they are supportive of the potential of helminth-derived therapies in MS, and have paved the way for larger, double-blind, placebo-controlled trials.

Therapeutic administration of *T. suis* ova has also been investigated in the context of inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), where multiple clinical trials support its potential to modify disease. An initial pilot study of *T. suis* ova in 7 patients with active Crohn’s disease (CD) or ulcerative colitis (UC) demonstrated that both a single dose of 2,500 ova and repeated dosing at 3 week intervals over the >28 week study period were well tolerated, with no significant adverse events [108]. Based on this favorable safety profile, *T. suis* ova was investigated in two larger efficacy studies in CD and UC. In an open label study enrolling 29 patients with active CD, oral administration of 2,500 *T. suis* ova at 3 week intervals for 24 weeks produced a 79.3% response rate (defined as a >100 point decline in Crohn's disease activity index (CDAI) or a decline to CDAI < 150) and a 72.4% remission rate (CDAI < 150) [109].

A second, randomized, double blind, placebo-controlled study investigated biweekly administration of 2,500 *T. suis* ova in 54 patients with active UC (defined as ulcerative colitis disease activity index (UCDAI) ≥ 4), and generated encouraging evidence of efficacy over the 12-week study period [110]. In this larger, controlled study, treatment with *T. suis* ova was well tolerated, with no significant adverse events, and generated a 43.3% response rate (defined as a decline of ≥4 points in UCDAI), versus a response rate of 16.7% in patients receiving placebo [110]. Together, these data strongly support the therapeutic potential of *T. suis* ova in IBD. Two larger randomized, double blind, placebo-controlled trials are currently underway, enrolling a combined total of 470 patients with CD in the United States and Europe. In addition to *T. suis* ova, infection with the human hookworm, *Necator americanus*, also has been investigated clinically in the context of IBD, but these efforts are at an earlier stage [111].

### 8. Conclusions

Since the initial broadening of the hygiene hypothesis to encompass autoimmune diseases, the NOD mouse model of T1D has provided crucial data and insights regarding the interplay between infection and autoimmunity. Among the first demonstrations of this, experiments conducted in the NOD mouse showed that helminth infection is capable of altering the course of autoimmune diabetes and that helminth products and antigens, in the absence of live infection, can modulate the immune system in ways that suppress and control autoimmune destruction of islets and disease progression [59, 61, 62]. Furthermore, as a spontaneous model of autoimmunity, the NOD mouse has enabled detailed exploration of multiple mechanisms by which helminth infections and products exert their influences on underlying autoimmune processes.

Given this long history of basic insight derived from the NOD mouse, it is perhaps surprising that investigation of the hygiene hypothesis in other models of autoimmunity, including those of IBD and MS, have been more rapidly translated to the clinical setting. Whereas large, randomized and well-controlled trials of helminth therapy are underway on the heels of positive initial studies of *T. suis* in Crohn’s disease, ulcerative colitis, and MS [107, 109, 110]. Similar investigation in T1D has lagged behind. However, these observations regarding the kinetics of clinical translation have held equally valid for other therapeutic approaches that have been investigated in multiple animal models of autoimmunity. For example, while TNF α blockade in rheumatoid arthritis and IBD [112], and α4β1 integrin blockade in MS [113], reached clinical practice relatively soon after initial investigations in relevant animal models, clinical translation of anti-CD3 therapy in T1D has proven to be a longer and more challenging path. However, the sluggish translation of findings from the NOD mouse to clinical practice does not necessarily constitute a comment on the clinical relevance of findings in this model so much as a testament to the unique challenges of developing novel therapies for T1D.

As discussed above, the distinction between prophylaxis and therapy is critical in the context of translational efforts for reasons related to clinical
cell destruction has progressed beyond a critical threshold, realizing the full potential of helminth intervention, or intervention in patients with reconstitute major focuses of research. Either earlier intervention, or intervention in patients with regenerating islet mass, may fit well with the demonstrated ability of helminth-derived therapies to preserve islet mass and suppress β-cell destruction. Second, emerging evidence regarding the ability of helminth infection and helminth products to modulate adipose-resident immune populations in ways that foster improved insulin responsiveness suggest new applications for these approaches in the context of T2D and the metabolic syndrome.
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