**Targeting Incretins in Type 2 Diabetes: Role of GLP-1 Receptor Agonists and DPP-4 Inhibitors**

Richard E. Pratley and Matthew Gilbert

*Diabetes and Metabolism Translational Medicine Unit, University of Vermont College of Medicine, Burlington, VT, USA.*

Address correspondence to: Richard E. Pratley, e-mail: richard.pratley@uvm.edu

Manuscript submitted May 25, 2008; resubmitted August 11, 2008; accepted August 13, 2008

**Abstract**

Until recently, the pathogenesis of type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) has been conceptualized in terms of the predominant defects in insulin secretion and insulin action. It is now recognized that abnormalities in other hormones also contribute to the development of hyperglycemia. For example, the incretin effect, mediated by glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) and glucose-dependent insulinotropic peptide (GIP), is attenuated in T2DM. Intravenous administration of GLP-1 ameliorates hyperglycemia in patients with T2DM, but an extremely short half-life limits its utility as a therapeutic agent. Strategies to leverage the beneficial effects of GLP-1 include GLP-1 receptor agonists or analogs or dipeptidyl peptidase-4 (DPP-4) inhibitors—agents that act by slowing the inactivation of endogenous GLP-1 and GIP. The GLP-1 agonist exenatide has been shown to improve HbA1c and decrease body weight. However, exenatide is limited by its relatively short pharmacologic half-life, various gastrointestinal (GI) side effects, and the development of antibodies. Studies of a long-acting exenatide formulation suggest that it has improved efficacy and also promotes weight loss. Another prospect is liraglutide, a once-daily human GLP-1 analog. In phase 2 studies, liraglutide lowered HbA1c by up to 1.7% and weight by approximately 3 kg, with apparently fewer GI side effects than exenatide. DPP-4 inhibitors such as sitagliptin and vildagliptin result in clinically significant reductions in HbA1c, and are weight neutral with few GI side effects. This review will provide an overview of current and emerging agents that augment the incretin system with a focus on the role of GLP-1 receptor agonists and DPP-4 inhibitors.
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**Introduction**

Metabolic abnormalities evident in individuals with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) include obesity, insulin resistance, qualitative and quantitative abnormalities in insulin secretion, dysregulated secretion of other islet hormones, such as amylin and glucagon, and increased endogenous glucose production. Another important abnormality, which came to the forefront of diabetes research, is the decreased incretin effect due to impairments in secretion and action of the incretin hormones glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) and glucose-dependent insulinotropic peptide (GIP). It is increasingly recognized that glucose homeostasis is governed by a complex interaction among various mediators, including insulin, glucagon, amylin, and incretin hormones. Deficits in any one of these components may contribute to the pathophysiology of T2DM (Table 1) [1]. Many pharmacologic studies in T2DM have been directed at increasing insulin secre-
Hormonal and metabolic abnormalities in the pathogenesis of T2DM

Due to the dominant effect of insulin on glucose metabolism, most studies of the pathogenesis of T2DM have focused on defining abnormalities in insulin secretion and action. In response to a glucose challenge, the secretion of insulin occurs in phases with distinct physiological functions [5]. Early-phase insulin secretion serves to efficiently switch metabolism from the fasting state—in which endogenous glucose production and non-insulin mediated glucose disposal predominate—to the postprandial state, in which endogenous glucose production is suppressed and insulin-mediated glucose disposal predominates. Late-phase insulin secretion enhances insulin-mediated glucose disposal in skeletal muscle and adipose tissue. Under normal circumstances, insulin secretion is tightly coupled to insulin action. Thus, normal glucose tolerance is maintained by a compensatory increase in insulin secretion in individuals with insulin resistance.

In T2DM, both qualitative and quantitative abnormalities in insulin secretion are present. Early-phase insulin secretion is almost always blunted or absent. Late-phase insulin secretion, on the other hand, may appear quantitatively normal, but is nevertheless inadequate relative to the degree of hyperglycemia. In response to an oral glucose tolerance test, the secretion of insulin in patients with T2DM tends to be slower in onset and prolonged compared to responses in individuals with normal glucose tolerance. Insulin resistance in skeletal muscle, adipose tissue, and liver can also be demonstrated in the vast majority of individuals with T2DM.

Abnormalities in insulin secretion and action can be demonstrated in individuals prior to the onset of hyperglycemia. Impaired insulin secretion is a predictor of progression to diabetes and, longitudinally, insulin secretion deteriorates with the progression from normal glucose tolerance to diabetes. In a cross-sectional study, individuals with impaired fasting glucose and impaired glucose tolerance were more insulin resistant and had a significantly lower acute insulin response to intravenous glucose relative to individuals with normal glucose tolerance [6]. In a prospective study, insulin resistance and a low
acute insulin response predicted the development of diabetes independently of obesity and independently of one another [7]. In a longitudinal study sequentially measuring insulin action and secretion, patients who developed T2DM showed a 14% decline in insulin action during the transition from normal glucose tolerance to diabetes, whilst the controls, who did not progress to diabetes, showed a similar 11% decline in insulin action [8]. In contrast, the acute insulin response in progressors declined 27% during the transition from normal to impaired glucose tolerance, followed by an additional 51% during the transition from impaired glucose tolerance to diabetes. Whereas in non-progressors, it actually increased by 30% over the ~5 years of observation. These results demonstrate the primary role of impairments in insulin secretion and action in the pathogenesis of T2DM.

Progressive declines in insulin secretory function are also thought to contribute to worsening glycemic control after the diagnosis of T2DM. The UK Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS) showed that among patients with newly diagnosed T2DM, insulin resistance did not change, but there was a linear decline in β-cell function over several years of observation [9]. This decline in β-cell function may represent the natural progression of the disease, and treatment with sulfonylureas (SU), metformin (MET), and insulin did not considerably alter the slope of the decline and impairment in β-cell function [10].

Human autopsy studies indicate that individuals with diabetes, and even those with prediabetes, manifest up to a 60% reduction in β-cell mass relative to individuals with normal glucose tolerance [11]. Whether reduced β-cell mass is a primary defect contributing to the development of diabetes in humans or whether there is a progressive loss secondary to hyperglycemia and an abnormal metabolic milieu is not known.

Insulin resistance and insulin secretory dysfunction in the pathogenesis of T2DM have been well studied, but less is known about the development of the other metabolic abnormalities that typify T2DM. Excessive endogenous glucose production in patients results from both inadequate insulin signaling, due to defective secretion and hepatic insulin resistance, and dysregulated glucagon secretion from pancreatic α-cells. A growing number of studies indicate that glucagon secretion is high and inadequately suppressed in response to an oral nutrient challenge in individuals with T2DM relative to those with normal glucose tolerance [12]. Glucagon secretion may also be abnormal in subjects with impaired glucose tolerance [13].

Amylin, which is co-secreted with insulin from pancreatic β-cells, is also dysregulated in T2DM. While the precise physiological role of amylin is not known, it appears to suppress glucagon secretion, and also has effects to delay gastric emptying and increase satiety. In response to an oral glucose challenge, amylin secretion is delayed and blunted in patients with T2DM relative to those with normal glucose tolerance [14].

The physiologic role of incretins

The incretin concept, as it is currently used, dates back to early observations that ingested glucose results in a considerably larger and more sustained insulin response than glucose administered intravenously. This
suggests the presence of substances within the gastro-intestinal (GI) tract that stimulate insulin release [15, 16]. Two incretins have been identified: GIP, secreted by enteroendocrine K-cells in the proximal gut and GLP-1 secreted by L-cells in the distal gut. Both GIP and GLP-1 are secreted into the circulation as active hormones within minutes in response to food consumption and are rapidly inactivated by the enzyme DPP-4, an ubiquitous serine protease. Both GIP and GLP-1 bind to specific G-protein coupled receptors present on β-cells and other target tissues. Activation of the incretin receptors on β-cells acutely enhances glucose-dependent exocytosis of insulin. In addition, activation of the incretin receptors results in other longer term effects, including stimulation of insulin synthesis [17], enhancement of β-cell proliferation, and promotion of resistance to apoptosis [3, 10, 18]. GLP-1 also lowers glucose through inhibition of glucagon secretion, deceleration of gastric emptying, and inhibition of food intake, and GLP-1 may promote enhanced glucose disposal in peripheral tissues (Figure 1) [19-22].

Other studies suggest that GLP-1 has effects on target tissues not directly involved in glucose metabolism, including a protective effect against ischemia/reperfusion injury [23, 24] and endothelial dysfunction [25]. GLP-1 also promotes endothelium-independent artery relaxation [26], and may increase diuresis and natriuresis, suggesting a possible renal protective effect [27, 28]. Furthermore, there is evidence that the synthetic GLP-1 receptor agonists may reduce systolic blood pressure and triglyceride levels and have beneficial effects on markers of cardiovascular risk, such as plasminogen activator inhibitor (PAI-1) and brain natriuretic peptide (BNP) [29].

A diminished incretin effect in T2DM

Using carefully matched oral and intravenous glucose challenges, Nauck et al. demonstrated that the incretin effect accounted for ~2/3 of the insulin secretory response in subjects with normal glucose tolerance, whereas in patients with T2DM it accounted for less than 20% (Figure 2) [16, 30]. Thus, impairments in the incretin response may contribute to dysregulation of insulin and glucagon secretion, particularly during the postprandial period, leading to hyperglycemia.

Evidence suggests that the impaired incretin response in patients with T2DM may be due to decreased GLP-1 levels following food ingestion [31], which cannot be attributed to enhanced clearance. In studies comparing the kinetics of GLP-1 degradation in healthy subjects and in those with T2DM, the clearance of GLP-1 is unaffected by the presence or absence of T2DM [32]. These data suggest that the impaired incretin effect seen after a meal in patients with T2DM is caused, at least in part, by decreased secretion of GLP-1. In contrast, secretion of GIP appears to be largely unaffected by the presence of T2DM. In addition to decreased secretion of GLP-1, impairment of the incretin response may be re-
lated to downregulation of both the GLP-1 and GIP receptor in pancreatic islets, as a result of chronic hyperglycemia [33].

**Effects of exogenous GLP-1 in patients with T2DM**

Despite evidence that responsiveness to GLP-1 is impaired, intravenous infusion of GLP-1 normalizes β-cell responsiveness to glucose [34] and restores both first- and second-phase insulin responses in patients with T2DM [35]. In one study, fasting subjects inadequately controlled on diet and SU therapy received continuous intravenous saline or native intact GLP-1 [36]. After less than 4 hours of GLP-1 treatment, plasma glucose decreased to normal fasting levels (p < 0.05) and glucagon levels were also reduced (p < 0.05). Thus, GLP-1 infusion is able to restore a more physiologic balance between insulin and glucagon secretion in patients with T2DM. In addition to its acute effects, continuous infusion of GLP-1 has been observed to reduce diurnal plasma glucose levels to near-normal levels [37].

While GLP-1 has marked benefits in patients with T2DM, native GLP-1 administration, as a treatment strategy is severely limited by a short half-life in vivo (T½ = 1-2 minutes) due to inactivation by DPP-4 and the impracticality of continuous infusion. Thus, pharmacologic strategies have evolved to overcome these limitations, either directly by modifying native GLP-1 to make it resistant to the effects of DPP-4 (GLP-1 mimetics and analogs), or indirectly (by inhibiting the action of DPP-4).

**GLP-1 receptor agonists in T2DM**

Insulin secretagogues, insulin sensitizers, and insulin itself are effective antidiabetic agents, but may be associated with either weight gain or hypoglycemia, or both. Moreover, the efficacy of most oral agents diminishes with progression of T2DM. Therapies that could amplify insulin secretion without causing hypoglycemia and weight gain are desirable [38].

Exenatide, a first-generation, twice-daily injectable drug that mimics the physiologic actions of GLP-1, is currently the only GLP-1 receptor agonist approved for the treatment of T2DM. The second-generation, once-daily human GLP-1 analog, liraglutide, is under regulatory review. Several additional GLP-1 receptor agonists, including albiglutide (GLP-1 conjugated to albumin) and PC-DAC:Exendin-4 (exendin-4 conjugated to human albumin), are under active investigation, but limited data are available on these agents.

**Exenatide: an exendin-4 analog**

Exenatide was the first approved therapeutic agent in the incretin class of hypoglycemic/glucoregulatory agents. It is currently available in the United States as adjunctive therapy to improve glycemic control in patients with T2DM who have inadequate glycemic control despite receiving either MET, SU, a thiazolidinedione (TZD), a combination of MET + SU, or a combination of MET + TZD [39].

Exenatide is a synthetic 39-amino acid peptide with 53% homology to human GLP-1 [40]. Exenatide is directly derived from exendin-4, which was originally isolated from the salivary secretions of the reptile Heloderma suspectum (Gila monster). Exendin-4 reproduces many of the glucoregulatory functions of GLP-1 in mammals, with a substantially longer plasma half-life than native human GLP-1 [41]. These effects are mediated by binding to the pancreatic GLP-1 receptor. Since the second N-terminal amino acid alanine is replaced by serine in exendin-4, the duration of effect with exendin-4 is substantially longer than that of native GLP-1 [42].

**Combination therapy of exenatide with oral antidiabetic agents**

A series of randomized, double-blind, phase 3 trials, the “3 AMIGOs,” evaluated the efficacy of exenatide 10 µg twice daily in patients with T2DM (n = 1446) receiving either SU [43] (n = 377); MET (n = 336) [44]; or combination SU + MET (n = 733) [45]. Although the initial treatment phase was 30 weeks, data from up to 2 years of exenatide exposure have been reported from open-label, uncontrolled extension phases [46-48].

Decreases in HbA1c, fasting plasma glucose (FPG), and weight were obtained when exenatide (5 µg or 10 µg) was given in combination with SU, MET, or SU + MET (Table 2). In contrast, FPG increased in groups treated with an injectable placebo. Mean decreases in HbA1c of 1.22% [43] and 1.35% [45] were achieved in patients with a baseline HbA1c ≥ 9% with 10 µg exenatide. In meal tolerance tests conducted at weeks 0, 4, and 30 in a subset of patients, postprandial glucose area under curve (AUC)15-180min values were 34% lower than baseline in the exenatide groups compared with 9% in the MET group [44], and 59% and 87% lower in the 5-µg and 10-µg exenatide + SU + MET groups [45].
An open-label extension analysis, which included overweight (BMI 27-45 kg/m²) patients (n = 314) enrolled in the AMIGO trials, who received 10 µg exenatide for an additional 52 weeks, found sustained reductions in mean HbA1c of 0.9% and 1.1% and progressive mean reductions of body weight from 2.1 kg to 4.4 kg after 30 and 82 weeks of treatment, respectively (Table 3) [46]. Improvements in lipid profiles and blood pressure were also noted after 82 weeks [46, 47].

A pooled analysis of the 3 AMIGO trials that included patients who had completed 2 years of open-label, uncontrolled exenatide treatment (n = 283) found sustained reductions in mean HbA1c of 1.1%, FPG (25.2 mg/dl), and weight (4.7 kg). An HbA1c ≤ 7% was achieved by 50% of subjects. Patients entering trials with a baseline HbA1c ≥ 9% achieved a mean 2% reduction in HbA1c [48]. In a subgroup of patients (n = 112), small improvements in β-cell function were found along with significant reductions in the hepatic-injury biomarkers alanine aminotransferase and aspartate aminotransferase in those with elevated levels at entry [48]. It should be noted that open-label extension trials may have overstated the efficacy of exenatide, as only responders were likely to have continued treatment.

In a trial conducted by Zinman and colleagues, the effects of 16 weeks of therapy with exenatide were compared with placebo among patients who were inadequately controlled on TZD therapy alone, or in combination with MET [49]. Exenatide significantly reduced HbA1c values by 0.98%, serum fasting glucose values by 1.69 mmol/l (30.5 mg/dl), and body weight by 1.51 kg (all measured as mean differences compared with placebo) [49]. Adverse effects (AEs) and antibody generation were similar to levels reported in other trials (Table 3) [43, 46, 49-52]. No significant changes were found in homeostasis model assessment of insulin sensitivity (HOMA-S), blood pressure, or lipids.

**Comparison of exenatide with insulin**

Exenatide was compared with the insulin analogs biphasic insulin aspart 70/30 (BIAsp 30) [50] and insulin glargine (Table 3) [51]. Results from these trials suggest that exenatide was at least noninferior to insulin therapy in terms of HbA1c reduction, and may provide better postprandial glycemic control and decrease body weight [50].

Dosing of insulin in these studies may not have been optimal [53]. In the study comparing exenatide to BIAsp 30, the mean total daily dose was 24.4 units per day, which achieved a 1.0% reduction in HbA1c. A dose of 78.5 units per day was used in the INITIATE study, which resulted in a 2.8% reduction in HbA1c [54]. In the study comparing exenatide to insulin glargine, the total daily dose was 25 U/day compared with 47 U/day in the Treat-to-Target Trial [55]. It is not known how exenatide compares with properly optimized insulin regimens, given that insulin has a glucose-lowering capacity that is limited only by the potential for hypoglycemia [56].

**Exenatide: safety and adverse effects**

The most common AEs associated with exenatide are dose-dependent nausea, vomiting, and diarrhea that...
may decline in severity and frequency with extended treatment [39]. In trials lasting 16-30 weeks, nausea was reported in 51% of subjects receiving exenatide + SU [43], 45% of subjects receiving exenatide + MET [44], 5% of subjects given exenatide + SU + MET [45], and 40% of those receiving exenatide + TZDs [49] (Table 2). In the 2-year pooled analysis, the incidence of nausea was 39% during wk 0-10 in the eligible intent-to-treat population (n = 521), and 8% by wk 100-104 among the 283 patients in the intent-to-treat population who completed 2 years of treatment [48].

There were substantially higher levels of nausea (57.1% vs. 8.6%), vomiting (17.4% vs. 3.7%), and diarrhea (8.5% vs. 3.0%) in trials comparing exenatide to insulin glargine (Table 3) [51]. These AEs were associated with a higher withdrawal rate in the exenatide group (19.4%) compared with the insulin glargine group (9.7%), and 9% in the exenatide + TZD trial [49]. Dizziness was reported in 15% and 16% in patients given exenatide + SU or exenatide + MET, compared with 7% and 8% among patients who received SU or MET only.

Exenatide is associated with increased risk for hypoglycemia when used in combination with SU but not with MET. In the AMIGO trials, mild hypoglycemia occurred in 3% of subjects treated with SUs only, in 36% of exenatide + SU subjects, in 5.3% of exenatide + MET subjects, and in 27.8% of exenatide + SU + MET subjects [43-45]. Mild-to-moderate hypoglycemic events occurred in up to 12% of subjects in the second extension study [46], with 4 cases of severe hypoglycemia (i.e., requiring medical attention) reported for patients taking SU [46]. No cases of severe hypoglycemia were observed during the MET extension trial [47]. Only a low incidence of hypoglycemia occurred when exenatide was added to TZD therapy [51], and in the comparison trial against insulin glargine [43].

Pooled data indicate that 38% of patients exhibit low titer antibodies with no apparent adverse clinical consequences. Higher titer antibodies were seen in 6% of patients in the 30-week clinical trials of exenatide added to MET or SU, and in 9% of patients who received exenatide in combination with TZD [39]. On average, approximately half the patients with higher

### Table 3. Combined summary data for additional clinical trials with exenatide

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>AMIGO extensions</th>
<th>Exenatide comparisons to insulins</th>
<th>Exenatide LAR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10µg Exen +TZD</td>
<td>10µg Exen +TZD</td>
<td>Placebo 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SU±MET</td>
<td>SU±MET</td>
<td>Exen-LAR 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[46]</td>
<td>[47]</td>
<td>[52]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10µg Exen</td>
<td>10µg Exen</td>
<td>Exen-LAR 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SU+MET</td>
<td>SU+MET</td>
<td>[52]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[50]</td>
<td>[51]</td>
<td>[52]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>∆HbA1c (%)</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
<td>-1.3</td>
<td>+0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-0.98c</td>
<td>-1.04</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% HbA1c ≤ 7%</td>
<td>48.0</td>
<td>59.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>46.0b</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>48.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>∆FPG (mg/dl)</td>
<td>-16.2</td>
<td>-31.0</td>
<td>+18.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-30.4b</td>
<td>-32.4</td>
<td>-43.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>∆Body wt (kg)</td>
<td>-4.4</td>
<td>-5.3</td>
<td>-3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adverse effects (%)</td>
<td>Nausea</td>
<td>Vomiting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-14.0c</td>
<td>12.3c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-19.00c</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24.5c</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>33.2</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>57.1</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastroenteritis</td>
<td>4.7d</td>
<td>5.6d</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.3d</td>
<td>6.3d</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild hypoglycemia</td>
<td>-2.00c</td>
<td>±0.00c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate hypoglycemia</td>
<td>3.6c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe hypoglycemia</td>
<td>4+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Legend:** BID: administration of exenatide in all studies with exenatide. Exen: exenatide. SU: sulfonylurea. MET: metformin. LAR: long-acting release. FPG: fasting plasma glucose. BIAsp: biphasic insulin aspart. BID: twice daily. § 82 weeks; only included patients treated with exenatide [46, 47]. Overweight patients in all groups. 39% of patients who entered the extended study withdrew. No antibody results reported. Adverse effects reported from weeks 30-40 to weeks 70-82 [47]. 16% of exenatide patients withdrew because of adverse events. Of the 115 (from 121 total) who were assessed, 40% were positive for anti-exenatide antibodies [49]. 21.3% of patients withdrew from the exenatide arm vs. 10.1% from the insulin glargine arm [43]. 5% of subjects given exenatide + SU + MET, 45% were positive for anti-exenatide antibodies [50]. 19.4% of patients withdrew from the exenatide arm vs. 9.7% from the insulin glargine arm. 43% were positive for anti-exenatide antibodies [51]. 67% were positive for anti-exenatide antibodies [52]. Fraction of patients (in %) with HbA1c ≤ 7% at the end of the study. Fraction of patients with adverse effects (in %). In some cases, marked with an asterisk (*), change of fraction of patients with adverse effects (in %) within the extended study (i.e. after completion of the first 30 wk study). Cases (not percent). Placebo-subtracted change. Change treated patients vs. placebo. Events per patient-year. FPG reported as a 4 hour AUC in units of mmol/hr/l. Combined summary data for additional clinical trials with exenatide.
Exenatide: effects on β-cell function and insulin secretion

Exenatide improves insulin secretion in subjects with T2DM who previously did not display a normal first-phase response [57]. As suggested by studies of native GLP-1, exenatide inhibits β-cell apoptosis in vitro, preserves endogenous β-cell mass in animal studies, and improves β-cell function in patients with T2DM [10, 35, 49, 58]. A study conducted by Mari and colleagues evaluated postprandial β-cell function by mathematical modeling in a group of patients with T2DM (baseline HbA1c = 8.1%) inadequately controlled on MET, with or without SU. Subjects (n = 13) were randomized initially to exenatide 5 µg twice daily, or placebo. After 4 weeks, one arm of the exenatide group was uptitrated to 10 µg twice daily. After 30 weeks of therapy, insulin secretion rates increased 40% and 72% in the 5- and 10-µg groups, respectively, compared with a 21% reduction in placebo patients; moreover, exenatide at both dosages enhanced potentiation of insulin secretion [59].

Results from Zinman et al. (discussed earlier) support an effect of exenatide on β-cell function. Homeostasis model assessment of β-cell function (HOMA-B) after 16 weeks of treatment increased by 19% among patients who received exenatide, but decreased 6% among patients who received placebo [49]. HOMA-S increased to a greater extent in the exenatide group (23%) compared with a 10% increase in the placebo group. Similarly, a pooled analysis of 2-year results from the AMIGO studies found significant improvements in HOMA-B, and modest statistically significant improvements in HOMA-S, among patients who received exenatide [48].

Recent developments in exenatide research

In data presented at the American Diabetes Association (ADA) 2007 Scientific Sessions, Buse et al. reported mean reductions in HbA1c (1.0%), FPG (24 mg/dl), and weight (5.3 kg) in 217 patients after 3 years of exenatide treatment, with 46% of patients reaching an HbA1c ≤ 7%. A 17% increase in HOMA-B was also determined in a subgroup analysis [60].

Klonoff and colleagues [61] have analyzed efficacy parameters in 217 patients with T2DM who had completed ≥3 years of twice-daily exenatide as adjunctive therapy to MET and/or SU. Mean reductions in glycemic response to exenatide [39]. Antibody titers were not reported in the extension trials. These data suggest that glycemic response to exenatide should be monitored frequently during early therapy, and alternative treatments instituted if there is worsening glycemic control or failure to achieve targeted glycemic control.

Finally, exenatide is not recommended in patients with severe renal insufficiency or end-stage renal disease [38].
Incretins in Type 2 Diabetes  

The Review of Diabetic Studies  

Vol. 5 · No. 2 · 2008

HbA1c (-1.0%), and weight (-5.3 kg) were found at 3 years. In a subset of 151 patients with 3.5 years of exenatide exposure, significant improvements in mean values of triglycerides (-12%), total cholesterol (-5%), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (-6%), systolic blood pressure (-2%), and diastolic blood pressure (-4%) were observed. A substantial statistically significant 24% increase in HDL-C was seen.

A 24-week study presented as an abstract at the ADA 2008 Scientific Sessions evaluated exenatide monotherapy in 233 drug-naïve patients with T2DM. Reductions in HbA1c from baseline were significantly greater for subjects who received exenatide 5 µg twice daily (-0.7%) and 10 µg twice daily (-0.9%), compared to placebo (-0.2%). Although the proportion of patients who reached target HbA1c of ≤7% did not differ significantly between exenatide (60-61%) and placebo (48%) [62]. In a 30-week, open-label study, also presented at the ADA 2008 Scientific Sessions, 295 intention-to-treat patients treated with diet and exercise only, 1 oral antidiabetic drug (OAD), or 2 OADs, were randomized to additional therapy with exenatide 10 µg twice daily, or exenatide LAR 2 mg once weekly. Compared to twice-daily exenatide, the once-weekly formulation was associated with a significantly superior HbA1c reduction (-1.9% vs. -1.5%), FPG level (-42 mg/dl vs. -25 mg/dl), and proportion of patients who achieved HbA1c ≤7% (77% vs. 61%) [63].

Several recent studies have evaluated exenatide use in the “real world”. In the first, a retrospective cohort study conducted in 67 patients with T2DM, exenatide therapy resulted in a reduction in HbA1c from 7.7-7.1% at 6 months (0.6% decrease) and a 3.4-kg reduction in weight at 6 months [64]. These results stand in contrast to a report by Loh and Clement that suggests that the effects of exenatide in the real world may not be as robust as initially suggested by phase 3 and long-term efficacy studies [65]. Real-world data also suggest that weight loss with exenatide is rapid, but reaches a plateau after about 6 months [66]. This outcome may be explained by patients’ decreasing adherence to exenatide, or by a progressive diminution of the effect of exenatide on anorexia and satiety over time [67].

**Liraglutide: A once-daily human GLP-1 analog**

Liraglutide is a once-daily, human GLP-1 analog with 97% amino acid homology to native human GLP-1. Modifications include a substitution of the lysine at position 34 with an arginine, and the attachment of a C16 acyl chain via a glutamyl spacer to lysine at position 26 [68]. These substitutions slow the absorption and degradation of liraglutide in comparison with native GLP-1, potentially through interaction with albumin and a capability to form aggregates in the subcutaneous tissue, yielding a time to maximum concentration of 9-14 hours, and half-life of up to 13 hours after subcutaneous administration [69, 70]. Therefore, liraglutide is distinguishable from the twice-daily exenatide formulation because it is a human derivative and the pharmacokinetics of the drug permit once-daily injection and 24-hour coverage.

**Clinical studies with liraglutide**

In all trials to date, liraglutide was dosed once daily. Liraglutide was associated with improvements in glycemic control and weight reduction and had a relatively low potential for AEs, including hypoglycemia and GI AEs. Five phase 3 clinical trials have been completed, and 4 of these were reported at the ADA 2008 Scientific Sessions.

Three early, double-blind, randomized, active-controlled (SU) and placebo-controlled, dose-ranging, 8- and 12-week phase 2 studies have been conducted. The doses used in these trials [71-73] (0.045-0.75 mg/d) were substantially lower than those used in later phase 2 trials (up to 2.0 mg/d) (Table 4) [29, 74] and in the subsequent phase 3 program.

### Table 4. Combined summary data for clinical trials with liraglutide

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>Vilbøll et al. [29]</th>
<th>Nauck et al. [71]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ΔHbA1c (%)</td>
<td>Liraglutide (1.9 mg/d)</td>
<td>Liraglutide (2 mg/d) + MET</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% HbA1c &lt; 7%</td>
<td>-1.74a</td>
<td>-0.8b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔFPG (mg/dl)</td>
<td>46.0</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔBody wt (kg)</td>
<td>-61.3a</td>
<td>-70.3a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adverse effects (%)2</td>
<td>-3.0</td>
<td>-2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nausea</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>33.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vomiting</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>11.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypoglycemia</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Legend:** Only highest doses from these trials are shown. MET: metformin. FPG: fasting plasma glucose. NR: not reported. 1 Fraction of patients (in %) with HbA1c ≤ 7% at the end of the study. 2 Fraction of patients with adverse effects (in %). 3 Placebo-subtracted change. 4 Change vs. MET monotherapy.

In two phase 2 trials, liraglutide was administered once daily in clinically relevant doses. In the first trial, Vilbøll and colleagues reported the effects of 14 weeks of liraglutide monotherapy on glycemic control.
and body weight in 165 patients with T2DM following treatment with an oral agent (primarily MET or SU). At the highest dosage (1.9 mg), liraglutide monotherapy reduced the estimated mean HbA1c by 1.74% from an average baseline of 8.5% (Table 4). When treated with either 1.25 or 1.9 mg liraglutide, almost half of patients reached the ADA target for postprandial control (<10 mmol/l or 180 mg/dl). A dose-dependent decrease in body weight was observed, with a mean weight loss of 3.0 kg in the 1.9-mg liraglutide group [29]. Similar results were observed in a study by Nauck and colleagues, in which liraglutide was added to MET (Table 4) [74].

Liraglutide may also have beneficial effects on cardiovascular risk in patients T2DM, having demonstrated a significant mean reduction in systolic blood pressure of 7.9 mmHg (p = 0.039), and a mean reduction in triglycerides of 22% at a dosage of 1.9 mg [29].

Liraglutide: safety and adverse effects

The incidence of GI AEs with liraglutide appeared to be low [72, 74] and transient [71], with few patient withdrawals from liraglutide groups due to AEs. Diarrhea was seen in most trials [29, 71, 72, 74] (ranging from 5.6-21.1%), but resolved within a few days. The incidence of injection-site reactions (5-6%) was also low [72].

Liraglutide: effects on β-cell function

Clinical and preclinical data indicate that liraglutide has a positive effect on β-cell function. In preclinical studies, liraglutide increased β-cell mass in rodent models of T2DM [75-77], decreased β-cell apoptosis [78], and increased β-cell differentiation in immature human pancreatic cell preparations in vitro, with a 1.95-fold increase in insulin-positive cells (p < 0.001) [79].

In human studies, one week of daily liraglutide improved β-cell function, as measured by significant improvements in both first- and second-phase glucose-induced insulin secretion [80]. In a longer-term study [81], patients with T2DM (n = 39) were randomized to treatment with 0.65, 1.25, or 1.9 mg/d liraglutide or placebo for 14 weeks and compared with a nondiabetic control group. In this study, liraglutide 1.25 and 1.9 mg treatment significantly increased maximal β-cell insulin secretory capacity compared with placebo by 114% and 93%, respectively (p < 0.05), and first-phase insulin secretion by 118% and 103%, respectively (p < 0.05). Both doses of liraglutide improved first-phase insulin secretion to 31% and 34% of controls, and maximal insulin secretory capacity to 48% and 40% of controls. Second-phase insulin secretion increased to levels similar to those of nondiabetic controls among patients in the 1.25-mg (p = 0.005 vs. control) and 1.90-mg liraglutide groups (p = NS vs. control) [81].

Mean β-cell function assessed by HOMA was increased in a 12-week study of liraglutide 0.75 mg [71], and treatment with liraglutide 6 µg/kg body weight significantly enhanced β-cell function during conditions of normal living [82]. In this last study, which used a validated β-cell model to evaluate 24-hour insulin secretion profiles, results in the liraglutide group demonstrated an increase in insulin secretion at 9 mmol/l glucose from 189-322 pmol/min/m² (p < 0.005) [82].

New developments in liraglutide research

Horowitz and colleagues have presented patient-reported ratings of GI symptoms in patients with T2DM receiving liraglutide monotherapy for 14 weeks. Results supported the transient nature of GI side effects following liraglutide administration, with substantial symptoms occurring only in the first 2 weeks after treatment initiation. Symptom scores subsequently returned to baseline levels [83].

Two recent reports evaluated the use of liraglutide in Japanese subjects. These studies did not find significant concerns surrounding safety or tolerability. Once-daily liraglutide resulted in significant mean HbA1c reductions of 1.6% and 1.8% at dosages of 0.6 and 0.9 mg/d, compared with placebo over 14 weeks [84, 85].

Phase 3 studies of liraglutide were reported at the ADA 2008 Scientific Sessions. The studies assessed liraglutide as monotherapy or in combination with either MET, SU, or MET + SU in patients with T2DM. Results of the 52-week monotherapy study of liraglutide 1.2 and 1.8 mg once daily, indicated that liraglutide was associated with significantly greater reduction in HbA1c (-0.84% and -1.14%, respectively) than glimepiride 8 mg once daily (-0.51%). Weight loss with liraglutide (-2.05 to -2.45 kg) was also significantly superior to the weight gain seen with glimepiride (+1.12 kg). GI adverse events occurred in 28-29% of patients in the liraglutide group vs. 9% in the glimepiride group, but the symptoms in the liraglutide groups were transient, and there was a significantly lower rate of hypoglycemic events with liraglutide relative to glimepiride [86]. In 26-week studies of liraglutide added to MET, SU, or MET + SU, reductions were as large as -1.33% for HbA1c and -2.8 kg for weight, with concomitant improvements in β-cell function [87-90]. Liraglutide also decreased systolic blood pressure by as much as -4.5 mmHg vs. comparator treatments.
blood pressure reduction, which occurred rapidly (i.e., after 2 weeks), could not be explained by the decrease in weight [91]. Among liraglutide-treated patients, liraglutide antibodies were found in 0-4% of those in the MET add-on study, 9-13% of those in the SU add-on study, and 10% of those in the MET + SU add-on study [87-89], rates that appear lower than those observed in pooled studies of exenatide [39].

**Other GLP-1 agonists in development**

Additional GLP-1 agonists in early clinical development include a recombinant GLP-1/albumin conjugate (albiglutide), a recombinant exendin-4/albumin conjugate, and a once-weekly GLP-1 analog (taspoglutide). These agents may have increased half-lives compared with exenatide or liraglutide [92]. CJC-1131, a synthetic GLP-1 analog covalently bound to albumin, with a half-life of approximately 10 days, has demonstrated an effect on fasting and post-prandial glucose similar to that of liraglutide [93]. Phase 2 data presented at the 2008 ADA Scientific Sessions demonstrated that once-weekly taspoglutide (R1583) significantly reduced glucose levels and weight in patients with T2DM, with a favorable safety and tolerability profile [94, 95].

**Summary: efficacy and tolerability of GLP-1 receptor agonists**

The efficacy, AEs, effects on body weight and incidence of hypoglycemia of exenatide and liraglutide are summarized in Tables 2-4 and compared in Figure 3 [19, 43-45, 49, 51, 71, 74, 96-106]. As illustrated, GLP-1 receptor agonists with a longer duration of action such as exenatide LAR and liraglutide appear to have superior efficacy than the marketed formulation of exenatide, reducing

---

**Figure 3.** Clinical effects of GLP-1 receptor agonists (exenatide, exenatide LAR, liraglutide) and DPP-4 inhibitors (sitagliptin, vildagliptin) on HBA1c (A), change in body weight (B) and hypoglycemia (C) [19, 43-45, 49, 51, 71, 74, 96-106]. DPP-4: dipeptidyl peptidase-4. TZD: thiazolidinedione. OAD: oral antidiabetic drug. * p < 0.05. Reproduced with permission from Lancet [19].
HbA1c levels in excess of 1.7%, while still promoting significant weight loss and without provoking significant hypoglycemia.

**DPP-4 inhibitors in T2DM**

While GLP-1 receptor agonists directly affect the incretin system by mimicking the effects of endogenous GLP-1, DPP-4 inhibitors act as incretin enhancers by preventing the inactivation of endogenous incretins by DPP-4, thereby elevating active incretin levels [107]. Thus, efficacy of DPP-4 inhibitors is dependent upon endogenous incretin secretory capacity that, at least for GLP-1, appears to be diminished in patients with T2DM. In one major meta-analysis of sitagliptin and vildagliptin trials, DPP-4 inhibitors lowered HbA1c an average of 0.74% with no weight change or hypoglycemia, and were noninferior to other agents (including glipizide and TZDs) [38].

The DPP-4 inhibitors are orally bioavailable, small molecular weight drugs that act via competitive, reversible inhibition of DPP-4, providing up to 90% inhibition of plasma DPP-4 activity over 24 hours *in vivo* [108]. These agents have been shown to provide 2-3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>∆HbA1c (%)*</th>
<th>%HbA1c ≤ 7%†</th>
<th>∆FPG (mg/dl)*</th>
<th>∆Body wt (kg)*</th>
<th>Adverse effects†</th>
<th>Hypoglycemia</th>
<th>Abdominal pain</th>
<th>Nausea</th>
<th>Vomiting</th>
<th>Diarrhea</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aschner et al. [109], 24 wk</td>
<td>-0.79b</td>
<td>41.0</td>
<td>-17.1a</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sitagliptin (100 mg qd)</td>
<td>-0.94a</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>-21.3a</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raz et al. [103], 18 wk</td>
<td>-0.61b</td>
<td>35.8</td>
<td>-19.8ab</td>
<td>-0.6b</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sitagliptin (200 mg qd)</td>
<td>-0.48b</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>-16.2b</td>
<td>-0.2b</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charbonnel et al. [107], 24 wk</td>
<td>-0.65b</td>
<td>47.0</td>
<td>-25.4ab</td>
<td>-0.7</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sitagliptin (100 mg qd) + MET</td>
<td>-0.74b</td>
<td>44.0</td>
<td>-25.4ab</td>
<td>-0.7</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosenstock et al. [110], 24 wk</td>
<td>-0.85b</td>
<td>45.4</td>
<td>-16.7b</td>
<td>+1.8b</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sitagliptin (100 mg qd) + pioglitazone (30-45 mg/d)</td>
<td>-0.15b</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>+1.0b</td>
<td>+1.5b</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pioglitazone (30-45 mg/d)</td>
<td>-0.67b</td>
<td>59.0</td>
<td>-7.5b</td>
<td>+1.1b</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nauck et al. [111], 52 wk</td>
<td>-0.67b</td>
<td>63.0</td>
<td>-10.1b</td>
<td>-1.5b</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sitagliptin (100 mg qd) + MET</td>
<td>-0.57a</td>
<td>43.0</td>
<td>-52.9a</td>
<td>-0.6 to 1.3</td>
<td>1.1 to 2.2</td>
<td>2.6 to 3.3</td>
<td>4.2 to 5.5</td>
<td>1.1 to 3.3</td>
<td>6.3 to 8.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glipizide + MET</td>
<td>-0.67a</td>
<td>59.0</td>
<td>-7.5b</td>
<td>+1.1b</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goldstein et al. [112], 24 wk</td>
<td>-0.57a</td>
<td>66.0</td>
<td>-69.7a</td>
<td>-0.6 to 1.3</td>
<td>1.1 to 2.2</td>
<td>2.6 to 3.3</td>
<td>4.2 to 5.5</td>
<td>1.1 to 3.3</td>
<td>6.3 to 8.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sitagliptin (50 mg bid) + MET (500 mg bid)</td>
<td>-0.83c</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>-23.3c</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sitagliptin (100 mg qd) + MET (1000 mg bid)</td>
<td>-0.48b</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>-31.3b</td>
<td>-0.6 to 1.3</td>
<td>0.5 to 1.1</td>
<td>2.7 to 4.9</td>
<td>2.7 to 8.2</td>
<td>0.0 to 1.1</td>
<td>4.9 to 10.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MET (500 mg bid)</td>
<td>-0.99a</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>-35.1a</td>
<td>-0.6 to 1.3</td>
<td>1.1 to 2.2</td>
<td>2.6 to 3.3</td>
<td>4.2 to 5.5</td>
<td>1.1 to 3.3</td>
<td>6.3 to 8.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MET (1000 mg bid)</td>
<td>-1.30a</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>-35.1a</td>
<td>-0.6 to 1.3</td>
<td>1.1 to 2.2</td>
<td>2.6 to 3.3</td>
<td>4.2 to 5.5</td>
<td>1.1 to 3.3</td>
<td>6.3 to 8.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hermansen et al. [113], 24 wk</td>
<td>-0.57a</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>-19.3a</td>
<td>+0.8b</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sitagliptin (100 mg qd) + glimepiride (≥24 mg qd)</td>
<td>-0.89a</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>-20.7a</td>
<td>+0.8b</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sitagliptin (100 mg qd) + glimepiride (≥24 mg qd) + MET (≥1500 mg qd)</td>
<td>-0.89a</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>-20.7a</td>
<td>+0.8b</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Legend:** MET: metformin. FPG: fasting plasma glucose. BID: twice daily. QD: once daily. NR: not reported. *From baseline. †Fraction of patients (in %) with HbA1c ≤ 7% at the end of the study. ‡Fraction of patients with adverse effects (in %). §MET > 1500 mg/d. ¶MET titrated to 1500 mg/d. ¤Glipizide titrated to 20 mg/d. ††Placebo-subtracted mean change. ‡‡Least squares mean change.
Table 6. Combined summary data for clinical trials with DPP-4 inhibitor vildagliptin only and in combination with other anti-diabetic drugs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>ΔHbA1c (%)</th>
<th>%HbA1c ≤ 7%</th>
<th>ΔFPG (mg/dl)</th>
<th>ΔBody wt (kg)</th>
<th>Adverse effects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hypoglycemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Nausea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Headache</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Nasopharyngitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pain in extremity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ristic et al. [114], 12 wk</td>
<td>-0.31</td>
<td>43.9</td>
<td>-7.92</td>
<td>+0.06</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vildagliptin (25 mg bid)</td>
<td>-0.27</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>-5.40</td>
<td>-0.55</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vildagliptin (50 mg qd)</td>
<td>-0.56</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>-17.46</td>
<td>+0.04</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vildagliptin (100 mg qd)</td>
<td>-0.53</td>
<td>45.8</td>
<td>-17.10</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pi-Sunya et al. [115], 24 wk</td>
<td>-0.5±</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>-0.9±</td>
<td>-0.4±</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vildagliptin (50 mg qd)</td>
<td>-0.7±</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>-21.6±</td>
<td>0.0±</td>
<td>9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vildagliptin (100 mg bid)</td>
<td>-0.8±</td>
<td>39.1</td>
<td>-19.8±</td>
<td>-0.4±</td>
<td>11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schweizer et al. [116], 52 wk</td>
<td>-1.0±</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>-16.2±</td>
<td>+0.3±</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vildagliptin (50 mg bid)</td>
<td>-1.4±</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>-34.2±</td>
<td>-1.9±</td>
<td>10.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atten et al. [95], 52 wk</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>-9.0±</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vildagliptin (50 mg qd) + MET</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>-14.4±</td>
<td>+1.3±</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fonseca et al. [117], 24 wk</td>
<td>-0.5±</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>-14.4±</td>
<td>+1.3±</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosenstock et al. [118], 24 wk</td>
<td>-1.9±</td>
<td>65.0</td>
<td>-50.4±</td>
<td>+2.1±</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vildagliptin (50 mg bid) + pioglitazone (30 qd)</td>
<td>-1.7±</td>
<td>53.6</td>
<td>-43.2±</td>
<td>+1.4±</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pioglitazone (30 qd)</td>
<td>-1.4±</td>
<td>42.9</td>
<td>-34.2±</td>
<td>+1.5±</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vildagliptin (100 qd)</td>
<td>-1.1±</td>
<td>42.5</td>
<td>-23.4±</td>
<td>+0.2±</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garber et al. [119], 24 wk</td>
<td>-0.8±</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>-14.4±</td>
<td>+1.5±</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vildagliptin (50 mg bid) + pioglitazone (45 qd)</td>
<td>-1.0±</td>
<td>36.4</td>
<td>-19.8±</td>
<td>+2.7±</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pioglitazone (45 mg qd)</td>
<td>-0.3±</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>-9.0±</td>
<td>+1.4±</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Legend: MET: metformin, FPG: fasting plasma glucose. BID: twice daily, QD: once daily. NR: not reported. 1From baseline. 2Fraction of patients (in %) with HbA1c ≤ 7% at the end of the study. 3Fraction of patients with adverse effects (in %). 4Upper respiratory tract infection. 5Additional adverse effect: asthenia (16.7). * Adjusted mean change.
fold enhancements in the levels of active GIP and GLP-1, improving impaired insulin secretion and reducing glucagon levels in patients with T2DM. Because these agents rely on endogenous incretin secretion, they may best be employed in early disease [32, 33, 109].

**Sitagliptin**

Sitagliptin, a potent and specific inhibitor of DPP-4, is the only DPP-4 inhibitor currently approved for use in the United States; a combination product (sitagliptin + MET) is also available. In trials lasting from 18-52 weeks, various doses of sitagliptin were utilized with once- or twice-daily dosing. Results from a number of clinical trials are summarized in Table 5 [103, 107, 109-113].

In one double-blind, placebo-controlled, 24-week study, sitagliptin 100-200 mg once daily provided significant placebo-subtracted reductions in HbA1c and FPG in patients naive to OADs [109]. In contrast to the results seen with exenatide and lixisenatide, there were no meaningful changes in mean body weight with sitagliptin (-0.1 to -0.2 kg) [109]. Similar results were observed in an 18-week study of sitagliptin 100-200 mg once daily in patients inadequately controlled on exercise and diet [103]. Hypoglycemia rates were similar across groups. Although there was a slightly higher incidence of constipation, nasopharyngitis, pharyngitis, pharyngolaryngeal pain, urinary tract infection, myalgia, arthralgia, hypertension, and dizziness in the sitagliptin group [103, 109].

In patients inadequately controlled by MET monotherapy (≥ 1500 mg/d), the addition of sitagliptin 100 mg once daily provided significant mean reductions compared with placebo in HbA1c, FPG, 2-hour post-meal glucose, and other glycemic parameters (Table 5). Also, it substantially improved the percentage of patients who achieved an HbA1c of < 7% (47.0% for sitagliptin patients vs. 18.3% with placebo) [107]. Notably, unlike MET—known to elicit GI AEs—there was no increased risk for GI events compared with placebo, and there was no effect on body weight [107]. Similarly, 100 mg of sitagliptin administered once daily provided incremental reductions in HbA1c levels when added to ongoing pioglitazone therapy (30 to 45 mg once daily) of approximately 0.70% (Table 5) [110].

In a head-to-head comparison, sitagliptin 100 mg once daily was non-inferior to glipizide 20 mg once daily as an adjunct to MET ≥ 1500 mg once daily. 52-week mean reductions in HbA1c of 0.67% were achieved both in patients receiving sitagliptin and those receiving glipizide, with the added benefit of small weight losses with sitagliptin (-1.5 kg) compared to small weight gains with glipizide (+1.1 kg) [111]. Simultaneous initiation of sitagliptin and MET provided significant reductions in HbA1c over either agent alone [112]. Sitagliptin administered twice daily at 50 mg + MET 500 mg or 1000 mg administered twice daily were associated with placebo-subtracted mean HbA1c reductions of 1.57% and 2.07%, respectively. In comparison, all single agents produced placebo-subtracted mean HbA1c reductions of 1.30% or less. A similar pattern was seen for FPG [112]. These effects were achieved without substantial increases in the rate or severity of AEs. Sitagliptin has also been shown to reduce HbA1c in patients inadequately controlled on the combination of glimepiride and MET [113].

Most trials of sitagliptin in combination with other OADs indicated that DPP-4 inhibitors produce incremental, or sometimes additive, improvements in glycemic parameters. Together, extant clinical data suggest that sitagliptin is a moderately effective antidiabetic agent, providing HbA1c reductions of up to 0.94% when used as monotherapy [109] and additional reductions in HbA1c when used as part of combination therapy [112]. Unlike the majority of OADs, these incremental reductions in HbA1c are not associated with significant weight increases.

**Vildagliptin**

Vildagliptin, another potent and specific DPP-4 inhibitor, is marketed in several countries and has been approved in the European Union. Approval in the United States is pending additional trials to examine the safety and efficacy in patients with renal impairment. In phase 3 trials as monotherapy and in combination with a variety of oral agents, vildagliptin provided HbA1c reductions of 0.8-1.0%. Table 6 summarizes results from various major studies on vildagliptin monotherapy and in combination with other anti-diabetic drugs [98, 114-119].

In a study comparing twice-daily dosages of vildagliptin (50 mg) and MET (1000 mg), both drugs reduced HbA1c levels. At 1 year, significant mean HbA1c reductions from baseline of 1.0% for vildagliptin and 1.4% for MET were seen, with neutral effects on body weight for vildagliptin (+0.3 kg) and reductions in body weight among patients who received MET (-1.9 kg) [116]. In this trial, vildagliptin was associated with a significantly more favorable GI AE profile than was MET (Table 6).

In a 24-week trial, vildagliptin 50-100 mg once daily provided significant incremental mean reductions in HbA1c levels when added to once-daily pioglitazone...
(15-30 mg). Reductions of 1.9% and 1.7% were seen in patients receiving vildagliptin/pioglitazone combinations of 100/30 mg and 50/15 mg, respectively, compared with reductions of 1.4% and 1.1% in patients receiving pioglitazone and vildagliptin monotherapy [117]. Vildagliptin was weight neutral in this trial. Incremental improvements in mean HbA1c and FPG were reported for another trial that tested vildagliptin (50 or 100 mg/day) as add-on therapy for patients poorly controlled by pioglitazone (45 mg/day). Although the FPG changes after vildagliptin add-on were not significantly different from pioglitazone continuation, small weight gains occurred [118] (Table 6).

Safety and adverse effects of DPP-4 inhibitors

Hypoglycemia and GI AEs are infrequent in patients who receive DPP-4 inhibitors. The DPP-4 inhibitor sitagliptin is primarily (87%) secreted via renal elimination; therefore, the dosage must be adjusted in patients with moderate-to-severe renal impairment [119]. However, in a meta-analysis these agents were associated with a 1.2-fold increased risk of infection of nasopharyngitis, a 1.5-fold increased risk for urinary tract infection, and a 1.4-fold increased frequency of headache [38]. Long-term safety remains to be established.

Effect of DPP-4 inhibitors on β-cell function and insulin secretion

Data suggest that—like GLP-1 agonists—the DPP-4 inhibitors have the potential to improve β-cell function and insulin sensitivity. A 12-week study conducted by Ahren and colleagues examined the effects of vildagliptin on meal-related β-cell function and insulin sensitivity over 52 weeks in MET-treated patients with T2DM [98]. Insulin sensitivity assessed during a glucose tolerance test increased among patients who received vildagliptin + MET but not in those who received MET alone. Insulin secretion related to insulin sensitivity (adaptation index) increased with vildagliptin + MET but decreased among patients who received MET alone. The change in adaptation index correlated to the change in HbA1c (r = -0.39, p = 0.004).

New DPP-4 inhibitors in development

Data from the phase 3 alogliptin development program were released at the 2008 ADA Scientific Sessions. In 5 different studies, alogliptin 12.5 and 25 mg/day were evaluated as T2DM monotherapy and in combination with MET, SU, or pioglitazone (with or without additional MET or SU), or insulin. Both doses of alogliptin produced significantly greater mean changes from baseline in HbA1c (up to -0.59% as monotherapy and -0.80% as combination therapy).
than placebo in all studies. Alogliptin was not associated with an elevated incidence of hypoglycemia or GI symptoms, and did not induce weight gain [134-138].

A phase 3 study presented at the ADA 2007 Scientific Sessions assessed saxagliptin, at dosages of 2.5-10 mg, added to MET. Saxagliptin reduced HbA1c by up to 0.83% and FPG by up to 24 mg/dl, with no change in weight or risk for hypoglycemia [139]. A subsequent study of saxagliptin monotherapy (2.5 mg/day and 10 mg/day) demonstrated significant placebo-subtracted decreases in HbA1c at week 24 (up to -0.73%), in addition to significantly higher rates of HbA1c target achievement compared to placebo. Saxagliptin was weight neutral, and no cases of hypoglycemia were observed. The most common adverse effects were upper respiratory tract infection, headache, urinary tract infection, nasopharyngitis, and sinusitis [140].

Summary: efficacy and tolerability of DPP-4 inhibitors

The efficacy, AEs, effects on body weight, and incidence of hypoglycemia of sitagliptin and vildagliptin are summarized in Table 5 and compared in Figure 3. The efficacy of vildagliptin appears somewhat better than that of sitagliptin. This is possibly due to differences in trial design and HbA1c entry criteria, as both drugs are potent and selective inhibitors of DPP-4. Both sitagliptin and vildagliptin are weight neutral and associated with very low rates of hypoglycemia.

The evolving role of GLP-1 receptor agonists and DPP-4 inhibitors in the treatment of T2DM

Both GLP-1 receptor agonists and DPP-4 inhibitors provide unique benefits that complement and extend the present therapeutic armory for the treatment of T2DM. Some of the benefits of incretin-based therapies, such as enhancement of glucose-dependent insulin secretion and suppression of glucagon, are shared by GLP-1 receptor agonists and DPP-4 inhibitors, while promoting satiety and weight loss are unique to GLP-1 receptor agonists.

It is evident that DPP-4 inhibitors are effective, well-tolerated, weight-neutral oral agents. Type 2 diabetes is characterized by a progressive loss of β-cell function in the setting of insulin resistance. These core defects are accompanied by a substantial impairment in the incretin effect, potentially due to impairments of GLP-1 secretion and action [16, 33]. Since DPP-4 inhibitors are dependent on endogenous incretin secretion, they might be utilized most effectively early in the course of the disease. Moreover, DPP-4 inhibitors produce only minor increases in fasting active GLP-1 levels. Their predominant effects are seen postprandially. Thus, DPP-4 inhibitors lower HbA1c levels by only a modest degree. Nevertheless, their ease of use for both patients and providers suggests that they will play an increasingly important role in the treatment of T2DM, particularly in primary care settings. They may be appropriate as monotherapy for patients who cannot tolerate MET or in those with renal insufficiency who should not receive MET because of the risk of lactic acidosis.

As DPP-4 inhibitors are weight-neutral and associated with a low risk for hypoglycemia, they may be appropriate as monotherapy in place of SU in patients for whom weight gain and hypoglycemia are undesirable. Since the mechanism of action of DPP-4 inhibitors complements that of MET and TZDs, DPP-4 inhibitors are ideal in combination with these agents. Such combinations are particularly effective at reducing hyperglycemia without provoking hypoglycemia. Finally, DPP-4 inhibitors are well-suited for treating elderly patients with T2DM, due to their favorable tolerability profile, low risk for hypoglycemia, and lack of significant drug-drug interactions [79].

In contrast to DPP-4 inhibitors, GLP-1 agonists do not rely on endogenous incretin secretion. Also, pharmacologic levels of GLP-1 activity can be achieved by injection of agonists, rather than the high-physiological levels attained following DPP-4 treatment. Although the half-life of the current formulation of exenatide is substantially longer than native GLP-1, its relatively short half-life does not provide 24-hour coverage. Therefore, the efficacy of exenatide is limited, particularly with respect to fasting glucose levels.

Longer-acting GLP-1 receptor agonists in development, such as liraglutide, exenatide-LAR and other molecules have more robust effects on fasting glucose levels. As a result they may offer superior efficacy to exenatide and most OADs. An important feature of GLP-1 receptor agonists is their ability to promote significant mean reductions in body weight.

In contrast to the DPP-4 inhibitors, all GLP-1 receptor agonists must be given as injections. Furthermore, the incidence of nausea and other GI AEs is higher with these agents than with DPP-4 inhibitors or other OADs. The currently available GLP-1 receptor agonist, exenatide, is indicated for patients with T2DM in combination with oral agents such as MET, SU, and TZDs. Obese patients with T2DM in particular may benefit from this class, due to the weight loss effects—which can be significant in some individuals—and other nonglycemic benefits such as lower blood pres-
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