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Abstract

Diabetic polyneuropathy (DPN) is a common complication in diabetes. At present, there is no adequate treatment, and DPN is often debilitating for patients. It is a heterogeneous disorder and differs in type 1 and type 2 diabetes. An important underlying factor in type 1 DPN is insulin deficiency. Proinsulin C-peptide is a critical element in the cascade of events. In this review, we describe the physiological role of C-peptide and how it provides an insulin-like signaling function. Such effects translate into beneficial outcomes in early metabolic perturbations of neural Na+/K+-ATPase and nitric oxide (NO) with subsequent preventive effects on early nerve dysfunction. Further corrective consequences resulting from this signaling cascade have beneficial effects on gene regulation of early gene responses, neurotrophic factors, their receptors, and the insulin receptor itself. This may lead to preventive and corrective results to nerve fiber degeneration and loss, as well as, promotion of nerve fiber regeneration with respect to sensory somatic fibers and small nociceptive nerve fibers. A characteristic abnormality of type 1 DPN is nodal and paranodal degeneration with severe consequences for myelinated fiber function. This review deals in detail with the underlying insulin-deficiency-related molecular changes and their correction by C-peptide. Based on these observations, it is evident that continuous maintenance of insulin-like actions by C-peptide is needed in peripheral nerve to minimize the sequences of metabolic and molecular abnormalities, thereby ameliorating neuropathic complications. There is now ample evidence demonstrating that C-peptide replacement in type 1 diabetes promotes insulin action and signaling activities in a more enhanced, prolonged, and continuous fashion than does insulin alone. It is therefore necessary to replace C-peptide to physiological levels in diabetic patients. This will have substantial beneficial effects on type 1 DPN.
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Introduction

Diabetic polyneuropathy (DPN) is the most common late complication of diabetes, with serious clinical consequences such as pain, sensory loss, foot ulceration, and potential amputation of limbs. DPN involves somatic, nociceptive, and autonomic peripheral nerves. The latter can have severe consequences for cardiac and gastrointestinal functions [1]. DPN affects both type 1 and type 2 diabetes patients, although specific differences exist in the underlying pathobiology, pathology, and clinical expression of the disease [2]. The progression and the expression of the disease is sometimes more rapid and severe in type 1 DPN [3, 4].

In recent past decades, several attempts have been made to address the underlying mechanisms therapeutically, e.g. through inhibiting an activated polyl pathway, oxidative stress, or by re-
placement of acetyl carnitine. However, the clinical benefits remain limited [5-8]. In retrospect, these failures were probably, at least in part, due to interventions coming too late in the natural history of the disease. DPN is a highly dynamic disorder with changing underlying pathobiological mechanisms that require early intervention. Another likely reason for limited success in treating this disorder, is the misconception that hyperglycemia is the only underlying cause of DPN. This assumption led to the premise that DPN complicating type 1 and type 2 diabetes is the same [1, 4].

Whilst hyperglycemia is significant in the development of DPN, the DCCT and other trials have shown that hyperglycemic control has only moderate effects [9-11]. Other correctable underlying mechanisms that require early intervention. Another likely reason for limited success in treating this disorder, is the misconception that hyperglycemia is the only underlying cause of DPN. This assumption led to the premise that DPN complicating type 1 and type 2 diabetes is the same [1, 4].

In type 2 diabetes, the opposite problem occurs, namely hyperinsulinemia, which is implicated in several underlying mechanisms. A second component in type 2 DPN, not commonly considered, is accompanying hyperlipidemia. In a recent large scale study, we demonstrated that elevated triglyceride levels were significantly correlated with rapid progression of DPN [20].

Coming back to type 1 diabetes, it is known that repeated insulin injections, although controlling hyperglycemia, are not sufficient to control the various cellular and regulatory functions of insulin. As insulin is secreted from the pancreatic β-cells, C-peptide is secreted in equimolar quantities and has a substantially longer half-life [21]. It has been suggested that the insulin-like effects of C-peptide are mediated via its interaction with insulin. Natural insulin exists in a hexameric form kept together by zinc ions [22, 23]. As shown in detail in an accompanying paper in this volume [24], C-peptide appears to regulate the de-hexamization of insulin by binding to zinc [25]. It thereby enhances and prolongs the many direct nonhypoglycemic effects of insulin. This shows that C-peptide has an important interactive regulatory role on insulin, which would explain the insulinomimetic effects of C-peptide [25, 26].

Abbreviations:

Aj - sensory nerve fiber, type 2
ADP - adenosine diphosphate
AMP - adenosine monophosphate
Ank-1 - cell membrane adaptor protein
Bax - Bcl-2 - associated X protein (promotes apoptosis)
BB/Wor rat - BioBreeding/Worcester rat (animal model of spontaneous autoimmune diabetes)
BBZDR/Wor - BioBreeding Zucker Diabetic Rat/Worcester (animal model of spontaneously non-insulin-dependent diabetes)
Bd-2 - B-cell lymphoma 2
Ca++ - bivalent ionic calcium
Caspr - contactin-associated protein
CGRP - calcitonin gene-related peptide
CNS - central nervous system
C-peptide - connecting peptide
CREB - cyclic AMP response element-binding protein
Cdk5 - cyclin-dependent kinase 5
DCCT - Diabetes Control and Complications Trial
DPN - diabetic polyneuropathy
DRG - dorsal root ganglion
ERK - extracellular signal-regulated kinase
GSK-3β - glycogen synthase kinase 3 beta
HbA1c - glycated hemoglobin
HSP70 - heat shock protein 27 (Inhibitor of apoptosis, regulator of cell development)
HSP70 - heat shock protein 70 (Inhibitor of apoptosis, regulator of cell development)
IGF - insulin-like growth factor
IR - insulin receptor
JNK - c-Jun N-terminal kinase
MAP kinase - mitogen-activated protein kinase
mRNA - messenger ribonucleic acid
NADPH - nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate
Na+/K+-ATPase - sodium, potassium adenosine triphosphatase, also sodium-potassium pump
NCF - nerve conduction velocity
NGF - nerve growth factor
NF - neurofilament
NFH - neurofilament protein, heavy chain
NFL - neurofilament protein, light chain
NFM - neurofilament medium polypeptide
NO - nitric oxide
eNOS - endothelial nitric oxide synthase
NF-κB - nuclear factor-kappa light-chain enhancer of activated B cells
NT-3 - neurotrophin-3
O-GlcNAc - O-glycoside-linked N-acetylglucosamine
PARP - poly ADP-ribose polymerase-I
PI-3-kinase - phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase
RPTP-β - receptor-like protein tyrosine phosphatase beta
SAPK - stress-activated protein kinase
SH2 domain - SRC homology 3 domain
SOD - superoxide dismutase
STZ - streptozotocin
Trk - tropomyosin receptor kinase (also known as tyrosine kinase)
TrKA - tyrosine kinase A (highly affine to the NGF receptor)
Zn++ - bivalent ionic zinc
In this review, we discuss the effects of C-peptide on several mechanisms underlying DPN and the resulting clinical effects. For the last several decades we have made use of a rat model of type 1 diabetes, with spontaneous onset of diabetes consequent to an immune-mediated β-cell destruction [27], that mimics type 1 diabetes in humans closely. This BB/Wor-rat model is totally insulin- and C-peptide-deficient and requires daily small insulin doses for survival (Table 1). In this review article, we occasionally contrast this model with the spontaneously hyperinsulinemic type 2 diabetic BBZDR/Wor-rat. The latter shows the same degree of hyperglycemia, onset of diabetes at the same age, and outbred on the same genetic background as the type 1 BB/Wor-rat [28]. Finally, we describe the beneficial effects of C-peptide when administered to patients with type 1 DPN.

### Table 1. Metabolic profiles of control, diabetic, and C-peptide-treated rats

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Control (n = 10)</th>
<th>BB/Wor-rats (n = 10)</th>
<th>BB/Wor-rats + C-peptide (n = 10)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Body weight (g)</td>
<td>501.2 ± 10.2</td>
<td>383.0 ± 6.5</td>
<td>382.0 ± 21.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose (mmol/l)</td>
<td>5.0 ± 0.2</td>
<td>23.9 ± 1.3</td>
<td>22.7 ± 1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c (%)</td>
<td>3.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td>11.6 ± 0.7</td>
<td>12.7 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma insulin (pmol/l)</td>
<td>430.2 ± 20.1</td>
<td>52.3 ± 5.8</td>
<td>40.0 ± 7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma C-peptide (mg/ml)</td>
<td>732.8 ± 45.4</td>
<td>&lt;25.0*</td>
<td>710.3 ± 65.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma IGF-1 (mg/ml)</td>
<td>1188.0 ± 31.8</td>
<td>770.8 ± 84.5</td>
<td>859.8 ± 50.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Legend:** The metabolic status of eight-month diabetic BB/Wor-rats treated with small maintenance doses (1.6-2.6 U/day) of insulin. This treatment is compared with that of BB/Wor-rats receiving full replacement doses (75nM/kg/d) of rat II C-peptide alone delivered subcutaneously via osmopumps from onset of diabetes. These data contrast to those of age-matched non-diabetic control rats. Note, C-peptide has no direct effect on glucose levels. *p < 0.001 vs. control rats.

### The physiological role of C-peptide in the peripheral nerve

Following its discovery by Steiner et al. [29, 30], it was believed that C-peptide would have an insulin-like glucose lowering effect. Since this could not be substantiated, C-peptide was dismissed as a non-functional peptide. Also, in the type 1 diabetic BB/Wor-rat, C-peptide restitution does not influence blood glucose or HbA1c levels (Table 1).

In the 1990's, the Karolinska group, and subsequently others, demonstrated effects on blood-flow, early diabetic neuropathy and nephropathy in type 1 diabetes patients [31-33], which led to renewed interest in C-peptide physiology. It was shown that C-peptide binds specifically to cell surfaces, and it was suggested that C-peptide acted via a G-protein-related receptor mechanism [34, 35]. Detailed studies by Grunberger et al. demonstrated that C-peptide autophosphorylates the insulin receptor in the presence of insulin [25, 36, 37]. Also, it stimulates p38 MAP-kinase and PI-3 kinase activities, and diminishes the activation of JNK phosphorylation with subsequent dose-related effects on Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase activity and nitric oxide (NO) [38-40]. Further downstream effects related to C-peptide include anti-apoptotic effects and gene-regulatory effects on neurotrophic factors, via several transcription factors, such as c-Jun, c-fos and NF-κB [41-44]. NF-κB also plays a crucial role in the anti-inflammatory effects of C-peptide [18, 19].

However, despite years of effort, the attempts to identify a specific C-peptide receptor have failed. Instead, recent studies have revealed interesting stoichiometric relationships between insulin and C-peptide pertaining to insulin signaling. It was demonstrated that, in the presence of high insulin concentrations, C-peptide has an inhibitory effect on insulin-signaling activity. Whereas, in the presence of low insulin concentrations, C-peptide enhances insulin signaling [21, 25, 45].

It has been suggested that the enhanced insulinomimetic effects displayed by C-peptide are linked to its ability to dehexamerize natural insulin by binding of metal ions, specifically Zn⁺⁺ [22, 23, 46]. Recent data have also shown that C-peptide is internalized to the cytoplasm by endocytosis [46]. It is interesting to speculate that such a mechanism could be associated with internalization of caveolae and the co-localized insulin receptors. The earlier findings suggested a G-protein-coupled receptor mechanism, such that intracellular Ca²⁺ concentration evoked by C-peptide may then be explained by cross talk between insulin and G-protein-coupled receptor signaling systems [48, 49].
The effect of C-peptide on neuronal dysmetabolism

The insulin receptor (IR) originates from two mRNA forms derived from alternative splicing of exon 11. The receptor lacking exon 11 shows higher affinity for insulin, and a higher internalization rate than the isoform containing exon 11. Neuroectodermal tissue mainly contains high affinity IR [50, 51]. In peripheral nerves, the IR is localized at the nodal and paranodal axolemma, the nodal microvilli, terminal Schwann cell loops, Schmidt-Lantermann incisures, and small dorsal root ganglion (DRG) cell somata [51, 52]. Hence, at the node of Ranvier, it co-localizes with Na⁺-ion channels, Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase, glucose transporters, and specialized adhesive molecules like ankyrin, and caspr [53-56]. In endoneurial vessels, the IR is localized on ad- and abluminal endothelial cells and in endocytotic vesicles surrounding interendothelial tight junctions [51].

Initially, the expression of the IR is unaltered in the sciatic nerve of BB/Wor-rats, but increases with duration of diabetes [57]. In contrast, the expression of IR in DRGs decreases progressively with duration of diabetes [58]. These abnormalities in IR expression are normalized by C-peptide [55, 56]. By comparison, IR expression is downregulated in the sciatic nerve, and remains unaltered in DRGs in the hyperinsulinemic type 2 BBZDR/Wor-rat [14, 59].

In recent years, it became increasingly clear that impaired insulin action plays a pivotal role in the pathogenesis of DPN in type 1 diabetes [14, 15, 21, 40, 57, 58, 60]. Hence, the replacement of insulin-promoting C-peptide in type 1 diabetes provides a unique opportunity to combat neuropathic and other complications, as outlined in this Special Issue of The Review of Diabetic Studies [24, 61-64].

Two key abnormalities in DPN underlying the early functional nerve conduction defects are the aberrations in Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase activity and NO [65]. Impaired Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase activity has severe consequences for Na⁺ permeation at the node of

Figure 1. A: Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase activities in sciatic nerve of acutely diabetic type 1 BB/Wor-rats, C-peptide replaced BB/Wor-rats and age-matched non-diabetic control rats. For comparison, Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase activity in age- and duration-matched type 2 diabetic BBZDR/Wor-rats is included. Note markedly reduced Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase activities in BB/Wor-rats, which is fully restored in C-petide treated rats. Type 2 BBZDR/Wor-rats show a modest decrease in Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase activity. 'p < 0.001, 'p < 0.01 vs. control rats. B: Sciatic motor nerve conduction velocity (NCV) deficits developing in acutely diabetic BB/Wor-rats, C-peptide-treated BB/Wor-rats (from 1 week duration of diabetes) and type 2 BBZDR/Wor-rats compared to age-matched non-diabetic control rats. Note the sharp and rapid decline in NCVs in type 1 BB/Wor-rats (B) corresponds to severely diminished activity of Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase (A). C-peptide-treated rats show an immediate partial but significant correction of NCV corresponding to a normalization of Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase activity. Both NCV and Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase activity defects are substantially milder in type 2 BBZDR/Wor-rats compared with type 1 BB/Wor-rats. These differences have led us to suggest a hyperglycemic component, and an insulin/C-peptide deficiency component, of the nerve conduction slowing.
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Ranvier, and results in decreased transmembrane potential and intra-axonal Na+ accumulation causing nodal axonal swellings [66, 67]. The more severe Na+/K+-ATPase defect in type 1 diabetes (Figure 1A) can be the consequence of an insulin deficiency-mediated defect of protein kinase C activity [38, 68], and subsequent impairment of Na+/K+-ATPase phosphorylation [65, 68]. In subacutely diabetic BB/Wor-rats, C-peptide dose-dependently increases Na+/K+-ATPase activity in the sciatic nerve, diminishes nodal swelling, and increases nerve conduction velocity [38] (Figure 1B). Another mechanism, implicated in the acute nerve conduction slowing, is decreased endoneurial blood flow, secondary to impaired endothelial NO release due to impaired expression of eNOS [39, 40, 69, 70]. This has been linked both to polyol-pathway activation through a NADPH-mediated mechanism, and to changes in protein kinase activity and calcium levels [69]. Not surprisingly, in the presence of unaltered hyperglycemia, complete C-peptide replacement in type 1 diabetic BB/Wor-rats results in normalization of endoneurial blood flow and vascular conductance [39]. Interestingly, in this study, lipid peroxidation was unaffected by C-peptide, as was SOD activity, suggesting a dissociation between neurovascular deficits and oxidative stress.

These early effects of C-peptide on compromised neural Na+/K+-ATPase and endoneurial vascular NO activities, underlie the correc-

---

**Figure 2.** ELISA assessment of NGF (A) and NT-3 (B) in sciatic nerves of control, diabetic BB/Wor-rats and those with full substitution of C-peptide. The amounts of NGF and NT-3 are substantially decreased in diabetic rats and corrected by C-peptide to concentrations not significantly different from control rats. *p < 0.005 vs. control rats, †p < 0.05 vs. untreated BB/Wor-rats. B: Protein expression of neurotrophic receptors in four mo diabetic DRG neurons. Note marked suppression of both the insulin (C), IGF-1 (D), NGF-TrkA (E) and the TrC (F) receptors in diabetic rats, and significant restorations of the expression of these receptors in diabetic rats treated with full C-peptide replacement from onset of diabetes. ***p < 0.001; **p < 0.005 vs. control rats; †p < 0.005; ††p < 0.05 vs. untreated BB/Wor-rats. Data are compiled from references [58] and [74].
tive effects on the metabolic components of the early nerve conduction defect [13, 21, 38] (Figure 1).

The neurotrophic effects of C-peptide and apoptosis of DRGs

C-peptide induces activation and DNA binding of several transcription factors and exhibits a stimulatory effect on cell proliferation of neuroblastoma cells, via activation of PI-3-kinase and p38 MAP-kinase [37, 42]. Enhanced expression and translocation of NF-κB, activation of cyclic AMP response element-binding protein (CREB), and inhibition of glycogen synthase kinase 3 beta (GSK-3β) [37, 71, 72] impacts favorably on apoptotic stressors [42, 60]. Type 1 diabetes is characterized by downregulation of several neurotrophic factors. Among them are nerve growth factor (NGF), neurotrophin-3 (NT-3), and insulin-like growth factor-1 (IGF-1) and their respective receptors (Figure 2). The downregulation causes adverse effects on the synthesis and posttranslational modification of axonal cytoskeletal elements, and the regenerative capacity of the diabetic nerve [57, 73, 74] (Figure 3). Besides the induction of a variety of transcription factors, C-peptide elicits the activation of early gene responses such as c-fos, c-jun, and the first waves of IGF, NGF, and NGF-p75 [14, 41, 57, 75]. This acts in a normalizing fashion on the compromised expression of neurotrophic factors and their respective receptors, and it normalizes the insulin receptor itself [57, 74] (Figure 2). There is no evidence that C-peptide per se has neurotrophic effects; the evidence points to a secondary effect mediated by its insulin-related effect.

There are several reports on apoptotic cell death of DRG neurons as a component of diabetic neuropathy in STZ-rats [76, 77]. However, the validity of significant apoptotic cell loss of DRG neurons can be disputed, since the high apoptotic rate reported in the STZ-rat does not correspond to a comparable loss of peripheral nerve axons [78, 79]. In the BB/Wor-rat, DRGs show increased expression of proapoptotic Bax and active caspase-3. On the other hand, anti-apoptotic Bcl-xl is also increased together with unaltered...
NGF p75R, Fas, poly AD-ribose polymerase-1 (PARP), and cleaved PARP [44]. This suggests that apoptotic stress occurs, but it appears to be counter-balanced by increased expression of survival factors like heat shock proteins such as HSP27 and HSP70, without significant decrease in the number of DRG neurons [44]. Instead, degenerative changes in DRG neurons, such as vacuolar subplasmolemmal changes, appear to relate to impaired Na\(^+\)/K\(^+\)-ATPase activity and structural changes of the Golgi complex [80].

**C-peptide and axonal atrophy**

Axonal atrophy with secondary axonal dying back leading to axonal loss is the very hallmark of DPN, and is more severely present in type 1 DPN [2, 28, 59] (Figure 3). Cytoskeletal neurofilaments (NFs) and tubulins are the major building blocks of the axon. Their expression and phosphorylation status impact on axonal function and size. Experimental animal models demonstrate reduced expression of NFs and tubulins in DRGs, aberrant phosphorylation, and decreased axonal transport of NFs in peripheral nerves. The three NFs (NFL, NFM, and NFH) are unique to the nervous system and assemble in a staggered fashion. They interact with microtubules, thereby providing axonal transport. Several kinases are involved in NF phosphorylation, like the cyclin-dependent kinase Cdk5, the MAP kinases Erk \(\frac{1}{2}\), SAPK [81], and GSK\(\beta\) [82, 83].

As mentioned above, apart from the independently exerted neurotrophic effects by insulin and C-peptide, C-peptide prevents and corrects the compromised expression of IGF-1, NGF, and NT-3 and their respective receptors [74, 75] (Figure 2). These effects are mediated by the early gene regulatory functions of c-fos, c-jun, as well as by the transcript factor NF-\(\kappa\)B [41, 42]. Downstream the correction of neurotrophic factors and their receptors result in correction of neurofilament and tubulin mRNA, and protein expression, as well as normalization of the aberrant phosphorylation of NFs [41, 84]. This has beneficial effects on both myelinated and unmyelinated axonal size and number [41, 60] (Figures 3 and 4).

**Figure 4.** Diabetic BB/Wor-rats show progressive increases of hyperalgesia, as assessed from withdrawal latencies following thermal stimulation (A). Hyperalgesia is partly, but significantly, prevented in iso-hyperglycemic C-peptide replaced diabetic rats (A). These beneficial effects were underpinned by prevention of the decline in substance P (B) and CGRP (C) in small nociceptive DRG neurons, and by prevention of unmyelinated c-fiber atrophy (D), and partial but significant prevention of c-fiber loss in sural nerve (E). Data compiled from references [58] and [74].
It is of interest to note that the upregulation of phosphorylating stress kinases, like Cdk-5, p-GSK-3β, and p42/44, exhibit specific affinities to the various NFs. Progressive upregulation of p-GSK-3β correlates significantly with progressive phosphorylation of NFH. Also, the decline in Cdk-5 expression with duration of diabetes correlates with decreased phosphorylation of NFM [84]. This emphasizes the dynamic and sequential occurrences of pathobiological mechanisms in DPN.

Morphometric assessment of C-peptide replacement
The corrective effects of C-peptide on cytoskeletal proteins are associated with prevention and correction of sural nerve myelinated fiber degeneration, fiber numbers and axonal areas, and increased frequencies of regenerating fibers in rats receiving preventional or interventional C-peptide treatment [38, 41, 58, 60, 74] (Figure 3). These beneficial effects on nerve fiber morphology translate into significant prevention and improvement of the chronic and structurally related nerve conduction velocities and hyperalgesia [58, 74, 85]. However, these corrective effects are not complete, but are only rehabilitated to the level of the much milder functional deficits in the type 2 diabetic BBZDR/Wor-rat [2, 4, 28, 59] (Figure 1). The residual defects in C-peptide-treated type 1 BB/Wor-rats can probably be ascribed to hyperglycemia-derived underlying mechanisms, such as an activated polyol-pathway and components of oxidative stress [39].

Similarly, C-peptide treatment prevents and reverses significantly degenerative changes of unmyelinated fibers, their axonal size and number, and the size of the parent nociceptive DRG neurons with prevention and significant improvements in hyperalgesia [58, 74]. These effects are discussed in the next section.

C-peptide and nociceptive neuropathy
Chronic pain is a common symptom in diabetic patients, which can severely compromise the quality of life of affected patients [1]. The underlying mechanisms are not fully understood. Current treatment options are limited and palliative in nature. They provide only limited benefits, and are sometimes associated with severe side effects [8, 86, 87]. Pain-related behavior can be assessed as exaggerated responses to painful stimuli, hyperalgesia, painful responses to normal stimuli, or allodynia.

Nociception is mediated by peripheral nociceptive fibers. Damage to unmyelinated and small myelinated Aβ fibers of small DRG neurons induce increased excitability via upregulation of tetrodotoxin-resistant Na+ channels and β-adrenergic receptors [88-90]. The high frequency of the firing pattern enhances nociception by sensitizing spinal nociceptive interneurons [91], with further modulation at the brainstem, para-aqueductal gray, and thalamic levels [92].

In the BB/Wor-rat, hyperalgesia and enhanced firing frequency of peripheral nerve occur early and progress with duration of diabetes [58, 59, 74, 88] (Figure 4A). These phenomena are substantially prevented and reversed by continuous subcutaneous administration of C-peptide [58, 74] (Figure 4A). The beneficial effects correlate with prevention and restoration of the expression of the insulin and NGF-Trk receptors (Figure 2), which are specifically enriched on small nociceptive DRG neurons and spinal nociceptive interneurons [52, 53]. Further downwards directed beneficial effects of C-peptide treatment include the restoration of nociceptive substance P, and calcitonin gene-related peptide (CGRP) synthesis [74] (Figure 4B-C). Furthermore, the progressive and duration-related atrophy of small nociceptive substance P and CGRP neurons, and the atrophy and loss of peripheral unmyelinated fiber, are significantly prevented and partially restored by C-peptide treatment [58, 74] (Figure 4D-E).

These data suggest that C-peptide prevents and modulates nociceptive symptoms, and some of the underlying mechanisms in type 1 diabetes to a significant degree. However, it should be noted, that C-peptide does not alter hyperglycemia (Table 1). Additional mechanisms related to hyperglycemia, such as spinal cyclooxygenase-2 protein and release of spinal prostaglandin E2, are likely to contribute to nociceptive neuropathy [8, 87, 92].

Regeneration and the effect of C-peptide
As mentioned above, early gene responses and subsequent perturbations of trophic factors and cytoskeletal proteins are severely affected in type 1 BB/Wor-rats [73, 75]. They are substantially milder in the iso-hyperglycemic and hyperinsulinemic type 2 diabetic counterpart, the BBDZR/Wor-rat [14, 93]. This observation suggests that insulin-deficiency is an important un-
derlying factor in suppressed nerve fiber regeneration in type 1 DPN. C-peptide substitution to the BB/Wor-rat prior to sciatic nerve injury results in an immediate correction of early gene responses, as demonstrated by the normalization of mRNA and expression of IGI-1 protein and its receptor. It also induces sequentially c-fos and lesion-induced increases in NGF [41, 73, 75]. The attenuated upregulation of NGF-TrkA-receptor is restored by C-peptide treatment [41] (Figure 2E).

The early upregulation of NGF induces macrophage recruitment necessary for phagocytosis, secretion of interleukins, and other trophic factors [94-96]. An orderly nerve regeneration requires the amplification of neurotrophic factors in the cell somata and the availability of insulin to induce neuroskeletal protein synthesis [97-100]. C-peptide-treated rats show a timely upregulation of DRG neurotrophic factors, although the expression levels are slightly lower than in control rats [41]. Insulin and NGF upregulate NFM and NFL, and indirectly β-tubulin, by stabilizing their mRNAs [99, 101]. A proper elongation of the regenerating axon requires the upregulation of β-tubulin to precede the upregulation of NFs. In the diabetic BB/Wor-rat, the necessary downregulation of NFs does not occur and it lacks the initial upregulation of β-tubulins [41]. The normal timing and sequence in the synthesis and delivery of neuroskeletal proteins to the regenerating axons are fully restored in C-peptide-replaced type 1 diabetic rats. Normalization of these intricate relationships in C-peptide-treated rats results in a significant improvement in the elongation of regenerated fibers and normalization of regenerating fiber sizes [41].

Based on these data, it is obvious that full substitution of C-peptide has a profound normalizing effect on complicated molecular events and leads to the correct timing of neuroskeletal protein synthesis. Hence, it enables a much improved regenerative capacity in type 1 DPN.

C-peptide and nodal and paranodal degeneration

A characteristic abnormality occurring in type 1 human and experimental diabetes is the progressive nodal and paranodal degeneration, which is not seen in human or experimental type 2 diabetes [2, 79, 102-103]. Progressive degeneration of paranodal tight junctions attaching the terminal myelin loops to the paranodal axolemma, so-called axoglial dysjunction, compromises the paranodal ion-channel barrier, and allows for lateralization of nodal α-Na⁺-channels [56, 103, 104] (Figure 5). This degenerative change is closely related to the chronic irreversible nerve conduction defect [56, 79, 104, 105].
At the paranode, the tight junctions connecting the myelin loops with the axolemma are made up of caspr, which interacts with other adhesive proteins such as contactin, neurofascin and receptor-like protein tyrosine phosphatase beta (RPTP-β) [106, 107] (Figures 5 and 6A). Caspr interacts with these additional proteins through binding with p85 at the SH domains [106, 108] (Figure 5). Interestingly, in peripheral myelinated fibers, the high affinity IR is particularly concentrated at the paranodal apparatus [51]. In type 1 DPN, caspr, contactin, and RPTP-β are significantly downregulated with a defect in p85 binding to caspr [21, 57] (Figures 5 and 7). p85, the regulatory subunit of PI3-kinase is mediated by insulin signaling. This series of events leads to disassociation of adhesive proteins and degeneration of the tight junctions, or so-called axoglial dysjunction [57]. C-peptide treatment normalizes both the expression of ankyrinβ and the anchoring β1-Na+-channel subunit [57]. Also, as mentioned above, both contactin and RPTP-β are normalized (Figure 7). Therefore, C-peptide substitution has profound beneficial effects on the chronic structurally related nerve conduction defect [13, 21, 57, 60].

C-peptide in clinical studies

The following question now arises. Do the benefits of C-peptide replacement on the multiple facets of type 1 DPN in the BB/Wor-rat, as outlined above, translate into beneficial effects on neuropathy in type 1 diabetic patients? Several controlled clinical studies have been performed to date to validate the effect of C-peptide replacement on DPN. At present, the results indicate beneficial effects on both somatic and autonomic nerve function.

In a double-blind, placebo-controlled study including 46 type 1 diabetic patients with mild DPN and reduced nerve conduction velocity (NCV), C-peptide replacement was given at 1.8 mg/day for three months. The trial showed that this treatment resulted in a 2.7 m/s increase or 80% correction of the sural NCV defect. This normalization occurred gradually and was associated with an improvement of vibration perception [110]. The results were confirmed and extended in a larger study in...
cluding 139 type 1 patients with established DPN according to the Toronto-score [111]. This latter cohort included patients with advanced DPN as well as those with milder DPN and a shorter duration of diabetes. After six months of C-peptide replacement, there was a significant improvement in peak sensory NCV by 0.93 ± 0.29 m/sec. A subgroup analysis of responders with the least affected NCV at baseline showed an increase in NCV of >1 m/sec in 39% of patients treated with C-peptide. In contrast, only 5% in the placebo group showed the same change (p < 0.004). In this study, there was no statistically significant improvement in motor NCV. The reported improvements occurred in patients already on intensified insulin treatment and were independent of further improvements in glycemic control [110, 111].

Short-term infusion of C-peptide has demonstrated significant (p < 0.001) improvements in heart rate variability in type 1 diabetic patients [112]. Consistent with these data, three months replacement of C-peptide resulted in a 20% improvement in heart rate variability, whereas in placebo patients it was unchanged or slightly decreased [31, 112]. These clinical studies demonstrate a beneficial effect of C-peptide particularly in patients with mild DPN independent of glycemic control.

**Discussion and conclusions**

As outlined here and previously [4, 13, 15, 84], it is becoming increasingly evident that DPN is a complex, multifaceted, and highly dynamic disease process. It is also clear that DPN occurring as a consequence of type 1 and type 2 diabetes are two separate entities [4, 14, 28, 45, 59, 102, 113], although hyperglycemia is common to both disorders. The differences are even more pronounced when considering CNS complications in the two diabetes types (114-116). It is therefore evident that biologically meaningful therapeutic approaches have to be considered separately. Consideration of the underlying DPN pathobiology can serve as a foundation for more nuanced and refined approaches to meaningful therapeutic interventions, as already demonstrated in short-term clinical trials (110, 111).

Another rarely considered aspect pertaining to the expression of type 1 DPN is the age of onset of diabetes and the potential adverse impacts of the metabolic perturbations by diabetes on normal development. In humans, the peripheral nervous system is not fully developed until well into the
DPN, like neuronal Na\(^+\)/K\(^+\)-ATPase activity and -ATPase activity and +/K

downtime, which can be achieved by C- and related signaling activities need to be sus-

tained overall insulin effect [21, 25, 26, 36] possibly through dehexamerization of naturally occurring insulin [22], or endosomal interaction with insulin signaling [47]. It was demonstrated that C-peptide plus insulin have additional small, but measurable, effects on blood glucose levels [22]. Patients given C-peptide require smaller insulin doses to sustain near normal glycemia [22]. Interestingly, a review of treatment charts of several series of C-peptide treated BB/Wor-rats revealed small, but significant, differences in insulin requirements to sustain the same hyperglycemic levels as in non-C-peptide-treated animals (Sima et al., unpublished data).

DPN represents a disorder for which there is presently no targeted therapy. As outlined in this review, C-peptide may provide a simple and biologically meaningful tool to fill this gap. In view of the substantial amount of data that have accumulated over the last decade, and the existence of proof-of-concept, it is somewhat surprising that the insulin manufacturing pharmaceutical industry and major diabetes granting agencies are still approaching the therapeutic potentials of C-peptide with skepticism. When one steps back and looks at the overriding basic concepts, which are now strengthened by increasing amounts of scientific and clinical data, as outlined in this issue, the rational for C-peptide replacement is obvious. Therefore, until we find the ultimate “cure” for type 1 diabetes, a disorder which is increasing globally at accelerating rates, and affecting children at an ever younger age; we could very likely, with relatively simple means by a two-hormone replacement approach, be able to alleviate the suffering of millions of young people with late complications like DPN.
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